European Scholar Journal (ESJ)
Available Online at: https://www.scholarzest.com
Vol. 3 No.2, February 2022
ISSN: 2660-5562

FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN COUNTRIES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION: RELATIONSHIP TO GOVERNANCE, ECONOMIC FREEDOM

Usmanov Rasuljon Azimjon o`g`li
Student of Namangan institute of engineering and technology
E-mail: u.rasulbek@gmail.com tel: +99 (890) 223 11 77

Article history:

Received: 24th December 2021
Accepted: 26th January 2022
Published: 28th February 2022

Abstract:

The improvement of the economies of the previous land (FSU) would force substantial levels of foreign direct investment (FDI). The aim of this study is to appear at factors which may be instrumental in determinant this level of the FDI. It achieves this by establishing quantitative relationships between levels of FDI per capita to the year 2004 and three sets of indicators relating, respectively, to governance, economic freedom, and corruption perception. The paper demonstrates that the extent of FDI in FSU states has been determined to a giant extent by the degree of reform from a planned economy towards a market economy.

Keywords: investment, market economy

INTRODUCTION

Direct overseas investment (FDI) is understood to stimulate the improvement of countrywide economies. Ireland and Hong Kong function direct proof of this phenomenon. For the ultimate 15 years (2005-2020) inward FDI in Ireland has improved from forty two to 223 billion US dollars (US$), and in Hong Kong from forty five to 457 billion US$ (UNCTAD, 2020). FDI in Hong Kong led to an growth in gross home product (GDP) of 277% and in Ireland 126%. The achievement of those nations with inside the improvement in their economies over this era is indisputable. In each cases, achievement turned into performed because of excessive great of governance in any respect tiers, the excessive stage of monetary freedom for firms, the provision of professional labour, beneficial environmental situations and precise geographic location. Over the equal length on account that 1990 the previous socialist nations of Europe and of the Former Soviet Union have additionally been looking to entice FDI, however with various tiers of achievement. The stage of general FDI in keeping with capita with inside the FSU nations is simply one-1/3 of that with inside the former European socialist nations. This shows that the FSU nations have now no longer been capable of cope with the elements accountable for attracting FDI. Further, as may be proven below, the extent of FDI varies substantially a few of the nations of the FSU, and best the 3 Baltic States, Azerbaijan and Kazakhstan have in keeping with capita tiers of FDI which fit the ones with inside the former socialist states of Europe. The cause of this take a look at is to look at the quantity to which the FDI overall performance of the distinctive FSU nations in latest years fits the expectancies that could be generated the usage of positive installed signs of FDI attractiveness. It achieves this via way of means of evaluating the relationships at a international scale among signs of governance, the index of monetary freedom, and the corruption belief index, after which organising regression correlations among inward FDI and those indices. These regression correlations are then used to expect the extent of FDI in every of the FSU states and those predictions can then be in comparison with the real tiers of FDI. The paper begins offevolved with a short evaluation of the consequences of a take a look at of FDI with inside the former Soviet Union undertaken via way of means of the Bleyzer Foundation and a precis of FDI with inside the FSU as much as the cease of 2010 earlier than embarking at the quantitative analysis.

FDI IN FSU COUNTRIES TILL 2010

The speed of economic reform within the FSU countries has not been uniform. Variations in levels of pre-existing economic development, in historical expertise of freelance development and in current education levels are among the factors that have controlled the character and extent of the reform process. The previous Baltic republics of the state have fully reformed their economies in an exceedingly short time. Acceptance of those states into the EU Community in late 2010 is understood as recognition of the roaring reforms undertaken by these countries. The previous FSU countries outside the Baltic States, except for Russia herself, seem to own had a lot of problem in achieving reform, probably thanks to the longer amount of your time spent underneath the administration of a communist regime. These countries failed to have any expertise of freelance development. This could imply that rates of economic reform are considerably stricted by economic, political and social experience, as a nation's ability to drive independent development and to effectively exploit its natural resources. Several factors have an effect on the flexibility to draw in inward FDI; a number of the chosen integrated indicators might also mirror conditions that prohibit the FDI flows.

ESTABLISHING CORRELATIONS WITH FDI

During this section, we have a tendency to examine for every country within the FSU the character of the correlation between the extent of FDI and 3 designated indicators about governance, economic freedom and corruption. These specific indicators are chosen on account on their widespread accessibility and acceptance. for every indicator we 1st tabulate the values of the element indices and also the combination scores for each of the FSU countries drawing on formal revealed sources. we have a tendency to then establish the nature of the equation linking the indicator with the level of FDI per capita for all countries round the world that knowledge are available. From this we have a tendency to derive the approximate level of the indicator which might be needed so as to draw in the FDI within the order of thousands folks greenbacks per capita and determine those countries which would be expected to realize this level of FDI.

FDI AND GOVERNANCE INDICATOR (GI)

Systematic strategies of qualitative assessment about problems with governance have solely recently been developed. Kaufmann et al. (2010, 2011, 2013) outlined governance as 'the traditions and establishments that determine however authority is exercised during a explicit country' and include:

1. the method by that governments are selected, command accountable, monitored and replaced;
2. The capability of governments to manage resources with efficiency and to formulate, implement and enforce sound policies and regulations;
3. The respect of voters and also the state for the establishments that govern economic and social interactions among them. The various aspects of governance are normally delineate by the utilization of a group of international indexes. The globe Bank identifies and uses an outsized range of such indexes. Typically speaking the standard of governance are often qualitatively expressed by victimization six key criteria expressed as indexes: voice and accountability, political instability and violence, government efficiency, restrictive burden, rule of law, and management of corruption. Kaufmann et al. (2015) ready a study analyzing twenty seven international indices of governance for the amount 1996-2002 for all the countries spoken during this paper. Statistics summarizes these governance indicators for the FSU countries. Six factors were stratified from 2.5 up to þ2.5 points. The governance indicators for the Baltic countries are on top of for the opposite FSU countries. All members of the FSU receive negative scores. Belarus, Tajikistan, Asian country and country score lowest of all. Comparison of scores for the years 1998 and 2004 demonstrates that governance quality shows different patterns of amendment in numerous countries. Solely the 3 Baltic countries and Republic of Tajikistan improved their indicators, the latter having simply emerged from a warfare in 1997. Russia, Armenia, and land maintained their previous scores and also the remaining countries saw their governance indices decline. It is clear that FDI knowledge for 153 countries aforethought against the governance indicator. Countries with a population under a meg are excluded from consideration. An equation relating the logarithm of FDI and a governance indicator has the form:

$$\mathrm{Ln(FDI)} = 6.33+1.85 \times (\mathrm{GI}) \quad (1)$$

The coefficient of correlation is 0.78, associated variance is 1.41. Therefore the obtained equation permits us to calculate a probable level of FDI primarily based upon a governance indicator. per Eq. (1) FDI levels within the order of thousands of US$ per capita are often reached only if the governance indicator is positive and exceeds 0.31. Solely the 3 Baltic States reached this threshold by 2004. FDI and index of economic freedom (IEF) The Heritage Foundation and Wall Street Journal talk over with an index of economic freedom (IEF; Heritage Foundation, 2004). This integrated indicator consists of ten separate indexes. The burden of every element index is that the same.

CONCLUSIONS

This study examines a number of the problems raised by that report. The analysis conferred during this paper shows that, in relative terms, the particular levels of FDI flowing into individual FSU countries up to 2004 are broadly speaking according to what would be expected victimization regression correlations supported world knowledge sets for 3 measures of investment attractiveness about governance, economic freedom and corruption perception. Major exceptions relate to the resource-rich countries in Central Asia which are a magnet for a lot of investment than expected. If investment relating to oil and gas is off from combination FDI in Azerbaijan, Kazakh and Turkmenistan, the particular level of investment lies getting ready to that predicted by the correlations. In contrast, states that are littered with deep political instability fail to realize their predicted potential, maybe Hayastan and Moldova. The 3 Baltic States systematically beat the opposite FSU countries in terms of their FDI, and this can be predicted by all three sets of indicators. Likewise, Tajikistan, Kyrgystan and Asian country are consistently within the lowest rank for each actual and expected levels of FDI. The index of economic freedom tends to over-estimate the extent of FDI relative to both actual levels and to those predicted by governance and corruption indicators. There's an additional tension between the development in index of economic freedom for all the FSU states and also the deterioration in the governance indicators for nearly the Baltic States between 1998 and 2004. Although there are beyond any doubt different factors that verify the level of FDI, equivalent to geographic location, the analysis has shown that for many of the FSU states, the trail to rising levels of FDI will so consist improving governance, economic freedom and perceptions of corruption. Failure to deal with these problems can end in continuing economic stagnation and isolation.

REFERENCES

1. Abdurakhmanov, M., 2004. Factors in attracting foreign direct investments. Ekonomicheskoe Obozrenie (Tashkent) 11, 28e29 (in Russian).
2. Amonov, Kh., 2001. Investment climate for foreign investors in Uzbekistan. Ekonomicheskii Vestnik Uzbekistana (Tashkent) 6, 25e27 (in Russian).
3. Baku Interbank Currency Exchange of Azerbaijan, 2004. Investments d institutionalization replaces a lack of system (in Russian). Available at: http://www.bbvb.org/Illik_2004PDF/investments.pdf. Barrell, R., Holland, D., 2000.
4. Foreign direct investment and enterprise restructuring in Central Europe.
5. Economics of Transitions 8 (2), 477e504. Bleyzer Foundation, 2002.
6. The Bleyzer Initiative: Completing the Economic Transition in FSU Countries.
7. The Bleyzer Foundation, Kiev. Available at: www.sigmableyzer.com/files/Bleyzer_Initiative.pdf.
8. Djuraeva, K., Muradova, K., Yusupov, Yu., 2004. Economic reforms and attracting foreign investment: lessons of the past and perspectives of development. Ekonomicheskoe Obozrenie (Tashkent) 2, 33e36 (in Russian).
9. Federal State Statistics Service, 2008. Russia in Figures. Available at: http://www.gks.ru/wps/portal Heritage Foundation, 2004. Index of Economic Freedom 2004.
10. The Heritage Foundation. Hewko, J., 2002.
11. Foreign direct investment in transitional economies: does the rule of law matter?
12. Centre for Energy, Petroleum and Mineral Law and Policy, Online Journal 11 (9) Available at: http:// www.dundee.ac.uk/cepmlp/journal/html/vol11/vol11-9.html.
13. 8. International Crisis Group, 2004a. Georgia: avoiding war in South Osetia November 2004. ICG Report 159 Available at: http://www.crisisgroup.org.
14. 9. International Crisis Group, 2004b. Saakashvili's Ajara success: repeatable elsewhere in Georgia? 3 December 2004. ICG Briefing 34 Available at: http://www.crisisgroup.org.
15. 10. International Crisis Group, 2004c. Moldova tensions Over Transdnestria 17 June 2004. ICG Report 157 Available at: http://www.crisisgroup.org.
16. 11. ALojonovich, R. R. (2016). Correlation between resource economy factors in cotton growing. *Наука и образование сегодня*, (6 (7)).
17. 12. Rahmatullo, R. (2016). Sectoral specificities by application of resource saving technology in cotton growing. *Economics*, (8 (17)).
18. Rashidov, R. (2016). Correlation between resource economy factors in cotton growing. Наука и образование сегодня, (6), 68-70.
19. Alojonovich, R. R. (2019). Economic efficiency of resource-saving technologies in the cotton industry system of indicators. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 8(11), 3861-3863.
20. **http://www.ijstr.org/final-print/nov2019/Economic-Efficiency-Of-Resource-saving-Technologies-In-The-Cotton-Industry-System-Of-Indicators-.pdf**
21. Alojonovich, R. R., & Sardorbek, O. (2021). THEORETICAL BASES OF INCREASE OF ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF USE OF RESOURCESAVING TECHNOLOGIES IN THE COTTON INDUSTRY. International Engineering Journal For Research & Development, 6(ICDSIIL), 5-5.
22. ALOJONOVICH, R. R. (2021). Resource-Saving Technologies In Cotton-Growing Economic Efficiency Indicator Systems. Plant Cell Biotechnology And Molecular Biology, 134-140.
https://ikprress.org/index.php/PCBMB/article/view/5943
24. Rahmatullo, R. (2020). The Emergence of Innovative Digital Technologies.
25. ALOJONOVICH, R. R. (2021). Resource-Saving Technologies In Cotton-Growing Economic Efficiency Indicator Systems. Plant Cell Biotechnology And Molecular Biology, 134-140.
26. Alojonovich, R. R., Mamadjanovich, Y. Q., & Solijanovna, A. S. (2021). Fund for Support of Sustainable Innovative Techniques and Technologies in the Cotton Sector. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, 2682-2689.
27. Рашидов, Р. А. (2021). РАҚАМЛИ ИҚТИСОДИЁТ ШАРОИТИДА РАҚАМЛИ ТЎЛОВ ТИЗИМЛАРИНИ АҲАМИЯТИ. Журнал Инновации в Экономике, 4(3).
28. Axadjonovich, Y. A., & Alojonovich, R. R. Necessity and Directions of Strengthening the Revenue Base of the Regional Budget.
29. Rashidov, R. A., Hasanboeva, N. H., & ogli Otakhonov, S. M. (2021). ISSUES OF USING BANK CREDITS IN DEVELOPMENT OF FAMILY ENTREPRENEURSHIP. *Journal of Central Asian Social Studies*, *2*(02), 148-159.
30. A'lojonovich, R. R. (2021). THE ROLE OF DIGITAL PAYMENT SYSTEMS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTRY'S ECONOMY. *DEVELOPMENT ISSUES OF INNOVATIVE ECONOMY IN THE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR*, 1142-1147.