

## BIERMAN-SCHWINGER PRINCIPLE FOR A SINGLE MODEL PARTIAL-INTEGRAL OPERATOR

**I. N. Khairullaev**

Termez State University

Article history:	Abstract:
<p><b>Received:</b> October 10<sup>th</sup> 2021</p> <p><b>Accepted:</b> November 11<sup>th</sup> 2021</p> <p><b>Published:</b> December 27<sup>th</sup> 2021</p>	<p>The article deals with a model operator consisting of a set of two special integral operators. Important spectra of the model operator <math>K</math>. The Bierrmann-Schwinger principle is proved for the operator <math>K</math>, i.e. the number of eigenvalues to the left of the number of the operator <math>K</math> is equal to the number of eigenvalues greater than 1 for some compact operators. <math>\sigma_{ess}(K)</math></p> $\lambda < \inf \sigma_{ess}(K) T(\lambda)$

**Keywords:** integral operators, Bierrmann Schwinger principle

Let be a Cartesian product, a Hilbert space of quadratically integrable functions on . Suppose that a single measure is chosen, i.e.  $T^\nu, T = (-\pi, \pi], (T^\nu)^n = \underbrace{T^\nu \times T^\nu \times \dots \times T^\nu}_n L_2((T^\nu)^n) - (T^\nu)^n T^\nu$

$$\int_{T^\nu} dp = 1.$$

Consider the operator in where the operators and act in by formulas  $K = K_1 + K_2 L_2((T^\nu)^2), K_1 K_2 L_2((T^\nu)^2)$

$$(K_1 f)(p, q) = \int_{T^\nu} K_1(p, q, t) f(t, q) dt,$$

$$(K_2 f)(p, q) = \int_{T^\nu} K_2(p, q, t) f(p, t) dt.$$

Here, nuclei and  $K_1(p, q, t) = K_1(t, q, p) K_2(p, q, t) = K_2(p, t, q)$  real-significant continuous functions on  $(T^\nu)^3$ .

**Lemma 1.** The operator  $K$  operating in Hilbert space is linear, limited, and self-conjugated.  $L_2((T^\nu)^2)$

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Let be arbitrary elements. Then from the linearity of the integral we have  $\alpha, \beta \in C f, g \in L_2((T^\nu)^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1(\alpha f + \beta g))(p, q) &= \int_{T^\nu} K_1(p, q, t)(\alpha f(t, q) + \beta g(t, q)) dt = \\ &= \alpha \int_{T^\nu} K_1(p, q, t) f(t, q) dt + \beta \int_{T^\nu} K_1(p, q, t) g(t, q) dt = \\ &= \alpha (K_1 f)(p, q) + \beta (K_1 g)(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the linearity of the operator . An operator as a sum of linear operators is a linear operator.  $K_2 K$

Now let's show the limitation of the operator . Denote by . Let , then  $K_1 M = \max_{p,q,t \in T^v} |K_1(p,q,t)|$

$$f \in L_2\left(\left(T^v\right)^2\right)$$

$$\|K_1 f\|^2 = \iint \left| \int K_1(p,q,t) f(t,q) dt \right|^2 dpdq.$$

In the latter equality, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|K_1 f\|^2 \leq \iint \left[ \int |K_1(p,q,t)|^2 dt \int |f(t,q)|^2 dt \right] dpdq \leq M^2 \|f\|^2.$$

From here for anyone we get  $f \in L_2\left(\left(T^v\right)^2\right)$

$$\|K_1 f\| \leq M \|f\|.$$

Similarly, the limitation of the operator . The amount of limited operators is a limited operator, therefore limited.

$K_2$   $K$

To prove the self-adhesion of the operator, it is enough to show equality  $K$

$$(Kf, g) = (f, Kg), \text{ for any } f, g \in L_2\left(\left(T^v\right)^2\right).$$

First we will prove the self-adhesion of the operator . Let be arbitrary elements. Then  $K_1 f, g \in L_2\left(\left(T^v\right)^2\right)$

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1 f, g) &= \iint \left\{ \int K_1(p,q,t) f(t,q) dt \right\} \overline{g(p,q)} dpdq = \\ &= \iint f(t,q) \left\{ \int K_1(p,q,t) \overline{g(p,q)} dp \right\} dt dq. \end{aligned}$$

By replacing the variables and in the integral on the right side of the last equality, we get  $t = p$   $p = s$

$$(K_1 f, g) = \iint f(t,q) \left\{ \int \overline{K_1(p,q,t) g(s,q)} ds \right\} dpdq.$$

Hence, by virtue of the condition, we have  $K_1(p,q,t) = \overline{K_1(t,q,p)}$ ,

$$(K_1 f, g) = \iint f(p,q) \left\{ \int \overline{K_1(p,q,t) g(t,q)} dt \right\} dpdq = (f, K_1 g).$$

Thus, the self-conjugation of the operator . Similarly, the self-conjugation of the operator is proved. The sum of self-adjoint operators is a self-adjoint operator. Therefore, the operator is also self-adjoint.  $K_1 K_2 K = K_1 + K_2$

**Lemma 1. Proven.**

Denote by the spectrum of the operator .  $\sigma(A)$   $A$

The article considers the model operator  $K$  consisting of the sum of two partial-integral operators and finds an essential spectrum of this operator  $\sigma_{ess}(K)$

Let the operator act in space according to the formula  $T(z) L_2\left(\left(T^v\right)^2\right)$

$$(T(z)f)(p,q) = I - (R_1(z)R_2(z)f)(p,q),$$

where is the resolvent of the operator .  $R_i(z) = (K_i - zI)^{-1}, i = 1,2$   $K_i, i = 1,2$

For operator  $K$  is the case.

**Lemma 2.** Число  $z \in C \setminus (\sigma(K_1) \cup \sigma(K_2))$  is the eigenvalue of the  $K$  operator if and only if it is the eigenvalue of the operator  $\lambda = 1 T(z)$ .

Using lemma 1 and Fredholm's analytic theorem, applying methods similar to those in [1] and [2,3], we get.

**Theorem 1.** For the spectrum of the operator  $K$  there is an equality  $\sigma(K) \sigma_{ess}(K) = \sigma(K_1) \cup \sigma(K_2)$ .

Note that the spectra and operators, respectively, and in detail studied in the work [2].  $\sigma(K_1) \sigma(K_2) K_1 K_2$

Let A be a self-conjugated bounded operator operating in Hilbert space and whose subspace of space whose elements satisfy the condition  $H H_A(\lambda), \lambda > \sup \sigma_{ess}(A), H(Af, f) > \lambda(f, f), f \neq 0$ .

Put

$$n(\lambda, A) = \sup_{H_A(\lambda)} \dim H_A(\lambda).$$

The number coincides with the number of eigenvalues (taking into account the multiplicity) of the operator A lying to the right of  $\lambda$ . Let's denote through the positive square root of the operator of the positive operator A.  $n(\lambda, A) \lambda A^{1/2}$

**Lemma 3.** For each, operators  $z < \inf \sigma_{ess}(K) K_1 - zI K_2 - zI$  are both positive operators and there is equality.

$$(R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_1 (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{z} K_1 + K_{12}(z), \tag{1}$$

where each is a compact operator.  $K_{12}(z) z < \inf \sigma_{ess}(K)$

**Proof.** it  $z < \inf \sigma_{ess}(K)$  follows that  $z < \inf \sigma(K_i), i = 1, 2$ . therefore, i.e. operators and are positive for all  $zI - K_i < 0, i = 1, 2 K_1 - zI K_2 - zI z < \inf \sigma_{ess}(K)$ .

Noticing the existence of an operator everywhere in Hilbert space Hence the representation  $\inf \sigma_{ess}(K) \leq 0$

$$(R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{-z}I)^{-1} L_2((T^v)^2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}}I &= \left( (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}}I \right)^{-1} \left( (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}}I \right) \left( (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}}I \right) = \\ &= \left( (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}}I \right)^{-1} \left( R_2(z)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{-z}I \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

It is easy to verify that the operator is a compact operator [2]. Therefore, according to (2) we have the compactness of the operator for all  $z$ . From here we can easily get (1).  $(R_2(z) - \frac{1}{-z}I)K_1 z < \inf \sigma_{ess}(K)$

$$\left( (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{-z}I \right) K_1 z < \inf \sigma_{ess}(K)$$

Note that the Biermann-Schwinger principle plays an important role in determining the finiteness or infinity of the discrete spectrum of the operator in question[4,5].

Here is the Biermann-Schwinger principle for the operator K, which we will prove by the same method as work [2,3]

**Theorem 2.** For each, equality is performed  $z < \inf fK$

$$n(z, K) = n \left( 1, - \left( \left[ \frac{1}{z} K_1 - I \right]^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{12}(z) \left( \left[ \frac{1}{z} K_1 - I \right]^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

**Proof.** First we will prove that

$$n(z, K) = n \left( 1, - (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_1 (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Suppose that i.e.  $u \in H_k(-z), (Ku, u) < z(u, u)$ .

$$((K_2 - zI)u, u) < -(K_1u, u).$$

Therefore

$$(y, y) < -(R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_1 (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} y, y), \quad y = (K_2 - zI)^{\frac{1}{2}} u.$$

$$\text{Thus } n(z, K) \leq n(1, -(R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_1 (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Reasoning similarly, we get the opposite statement

$$n(z, K) \geq n(1, -(R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_1 (R_2(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

At the same time, according to the formula (1)

$$n(z, K) = n(1, \frac{1}{z} K_1 + K_{12}(z)).$$

Again applying the variational principle, we have

$$n(1, \frac{1}{z} K_1 + K_{12}(z)) = n \left( 1, - \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ z \end{array} \right] K_1 - I \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{12}(z) \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ z \end{array} \right] K_1 - I \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

## REFERENCES

1. Reed M., Simon B., Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. M.: Mir. 1977, 1, Functional Analysis.
2. S.N.. Lakaev, I.N. Khairullaev "Completeness of the system of eigenvectors of the model operator of several particles" Report of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan «Fan» Nashriyoti Toshkent. 2001.
3. I.N. Xairullaev " Spectrum and result of the hamiltonian of one system with an unpreserved limited number of particles"
4. Uzbek Mathematical Journal 6, 1999, 70-78
5. Sobolev A.V.: The Efimov effect. Discrete asymptotics, Commun. Math. Phys. 156 (1993), 127-168.
6. M.E. Muminov. On the expression of the number of eigenvalues of the Friedrichs model. Mathematical Notes, Vol. 82, 2007, No. 1, pp. 75-83.