



EFFECT OF GROWTH-PROMOTING BACTERIA SUPPLEMENTED TO THE CASING LAYER ON THE YIELD AND MORPHOLOGICAL TRAITS OF AGARICUS BISPORUS

Khalid Ibrahim Mustaf¹, Abdullah Abdulkareem Hassan², Hameed Saleh Hammad¹,

¹Department of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, College of Agriculture, Diyala University, Diyala, Iraq

²Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq

khalidibrahim@uodiyala.edu.iq

Article history:	Abstract:
Received: 11 th May 2025 Accepted: 8 th June 2025	<p>The study was conducted in November 2020 at the pioneering mushroom farm and laboratories of the College of Agriculture, University of Tikrit, to investigate the effects of bacterial isolates on the production of <i>Agaricus bisporus</i> mushrooms. Four bacterial isolates—<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>, <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>, <i>Pseudomonas mosselii</i>, and <i>Pseudomonas putida</i>—were obtained from the laboratory and applied at three concentrations (10^6, 10^8, and 10^{10} cells ml⁻¹) two days after casing soil application.</p> <p>The results revealed that <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> at a concentration of 10^{10} cells ml⁻¹ significantly outperformed all other treatments, recording the highest mushroom yield, biological efficiency, number of fruiting bodies, and stipe length, with increases of 21.24%, 20.99%, 25.62%, and 7.24%, respectively, compared to the control. Additionally, <i>Pseudomonas mosselii</i> at 10^8 cells ml⁻¹ exhibited superiority in fruit body weight, cap thickness, and stipe diameter, with respective increases of 24.92%, 11.00%, and 21.05% over the control treatment.</p>

Keywords: Growth-promoting bacteria, Mushroom yield, *Agaricus bisporus*, Casing layer, Morphological traits

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the consumption of the edible mushroom, *A. bisporus*, has increased worldwide due to its delicious taste, high nutritional value, and well-balanced nutritional components. This mushroom is known to contain essential amino acids, a substantial amount of vitamins, minerals, and dietary fiber, making them a valuable addition to the diet (Mattila et al., 2002; Ulzijiargal et al., 2013). Moreover, *A. bisporus* has gained prominence as a key source of bioactive compounds with significant medical value, contributing to human health. Research has demonstrated their immune-boosting properties, anti-cancer effects, anti-inflammatory capabilities, cholesterol reduction, and prevention of liver diseases, heart ailments, hypertension, and liver diseases (Assemie and Abaya, 2022). Currently, China holds the global lead in *A. bisporus* production, accounting for 54% of the world's production, with a total annual yield of 2.37 million metric tons. The United States ranks second, contributing 9% to the global production, with an annual output of 409,000 metric tons, following closely are Poland, the Netherlands, India, France, and Spain (Royse et al., 2017).

The casing layer plays a pivotal role in the formation of fruit bodies in the *A. bisporus* mushroom, and without this layer, the fruit bodies may not develop. Several theories attempt to explain the role of the casing layer in triggering the transition from vegetative (mycelium) growth to reproductive or fruit stage, yet a precise explanation for this transformation remains elusive. Mushrooms require two essential components for fruit body formation: compost, which serves as a growth substrate, and the casing layer. The casing layer is prepared with suitable physical, chemical, and biological factors that induce the mushroom mycelium to form fruit bodies (McGee, 2018; Navarro et al., 2020). Both compost and the casing layer constitute a heterogeneous environment inhabited by a diverse range of microbial species, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses. While most studies have primarily focused on bacteria and fungi due to their significant roles in the production process, the bacterial community stands out as the more diverse and critical component, garnering greater attention in research compared to the fungal community (McGee, 2017). In a study conducted by Zarenejad et al. (2012), bacteria were isolated from 14 different casing soils, among which 23 bacterial isolates were identified as mushroom growth-promoting bacteria. Two of these isolates, belonging to *Pseudomonas putida* (Bt4 and Ps7), were found to be the most effective in promoting commercial-scale growth, resulting in the highest yield of fruit bodies. Murmu and Lal (2016) reported that the addition of *P. putida* to casing layers composed of various mixtures led to increased yield, vitality, and protein content compared to the control (without bacteria). It was found that bacteria belonging to the genera *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* have the ability to produce the hormones such as Indol

Acetic Acid (IAA), which stimulates mycelial growth during the vegetative phase (Kang and Cho, 2014). In a study examining the effect of inoculating the casing layer with growth-promoting bacteria, researchers found that inoculating with *P. putida* and *P. fluorescens* led to a 26.62 and 9.07% increase in the *A. bisporus* yield, respectively (Rainey et al., 1990). Kim et al. (2008) demonstrated that using a strain of *Pseudomonas* bacteria to support *Pleurotus eryngii* mushrooms resulted in a 1.6-fold increase in growth, promoted the formation of primordia, reduced the number of days for fruit body appearance, and increased fruit body weight compared to the control. Lotfi et al. (2018) established a correlation between IAA production and fresh mushroom weight, as well as IAA production and the number of fruit bodies. Consequently, the study attributed the positive effects observed to the secretion of IAA and its growth-promoting influence. Moreover, growth-promoting bacteria present in the casing layer play an indirect role in enhancing fungal growth by inhibits potential pathogens in compost and casing layers (Baat et al., 2022).

This study aims to evaluate the efficacy of biological supplementation in the casing layer with bacteria isolated from different casing soils on the growth and yield of *A. bisporus* mushrooms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Mushroom Farm of the College of Agriculture, Tikrit University, on December 10, 2020, to investigate the impact of the growth-promoting bacteria into the casing layer on the yield and morphological characteristics of the fruit bodies produced by the edible mushroom *A. bisporus*.

Stages of *A. bisporus* production;

Spawn Preparation

Mushroom spawn was prepared according to the method of Hassan and Mahmoud (2003). Briefly; wheat grains were boiled in water, filtered, and supplemented with calcium sulfate at a rate of 2% and calcium carbonate at a rate of 8% of the dry weight of the grains. The mixture was distributed in glass bottles, then autoclaved at 121°C and a pressure of 1.5 kg/cm² for one hour. The bottles were left to cool for 24 hours and subsequently inoculated with *A. bisporus* (strain A15) mycelial pieces. Finally incubated at 25±1°C until full mycelial growth was achieved.

Preparation of Compost

The composting process involved the mixing of 2000 kg of wheat straw with 1200 kg of poultry manure, 30 kg of calcium sulfate, and 10 kg of ammonium calcium nitrate. These components were moistened, and the fermentation process continued for two weeks with continuous turning. When the temperature reached approximately 60-70°C, the mixture was transferred to pasteurization chambers, and steam injection was initiated while ensuring adequate air circulation at a rate of 400 cubic meters per ton of compost per hour. On the second day, the temperature was raised to 60°C for 6 hours, and then it was gradually reduced from day 3 to day 6 until it reached 47°C. On the sixth day, once the ammonia odor disappeared, the temperature was lowered to 25°C, making the compost ready for use (Hassan and Mahmoud, 2003).

Spawning Stage

Two percent (2%) of the mushroom spawn was added to the fermented compost, thoroughly mixed, and packed into polyethylene bags measuring 60 x 40 x 15cm (length x width x height). The bags were incubated at 25 ± 1°C for a period of three weeks.

Casing Stage

Upon completion of mycelial growth, a casing layer consisting of peat moss, with a thickness of 4 cm, was applied. Relative humidity in the cultivation room was raised to 85%, while maintaining a temperature of approximately 25 ± 1°C.

Application of Growth-Promoting Bacteria

The experiment involved the addition of four bacterial isolates: *Bacillus pumilus*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Pseudomonas mosselii*, and *Pseudomonas putida*. These isolates were taxonomically identified to the species level using the molecular method based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Hassan et al., 2022). Bacterial suspensions were prepared at three concentrations (610, 810, 1010 cells/mL) for each bacterial isolate. After two days of casing, the bacterial suspension was applied at a rate of 250 ml bag⁻¹.

Cropping Stage

During this stage, the relative humidity was reduced to 88%, and the carbon dioxide concentration was maintained at 1100-1300 ppm. The air temperature was maintained at approximately 18°C. Harvesting of the fruit bodies commenced five days after the appearance of primordia.

Experimental Factors

The experiment encompassed the addition of four bacterial isolates, namely *B. pumilus*, *P. fluorescens*, *P. mosselii*, and *P. putida*, at three concentrations, in addition to a control treatment, resulting in a total of 13 treatments:

1. B.p6: *B. pumilus* at 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹.
2. B.p8: *B. pumilus* at 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹.
3. B.p10: *B. pumilus* at 10¹⁰ cells ml⁻¹.
4. P.f6: *P. fluorescens* at 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹.
5. P.f8: *P. fluorescens* at 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹.
6. P.f10: *P. fluorescens* at 10¹⁰ cells ml⁻¹.
7. P.m6: *P. mosselii* at 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹.
8. P.m8: *P. mosselii* at 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹.
9. P.m10: *P. mosselii* at 10¹⁰ cells ml⁻¹.

10. P.p6: *P. putida* at 10^6 cells ml^{-1} .
11. P.p8: *P. putida* at 10^8 cells ml^{-1} .
12. P.p10: *P. putida* at 10^{10} cells ml^{-1} .
13. Control (without bacteria).

Experimental Parameters

Number of fruit bodies (Fruit bodies bag^{-1} (experimental unit $^{-1}$))

Calculated by counting the total number of fruits produced in each experimental unit from three flushes.

Total yield (g bag^{-1})

Total yield calculated by summing the yield obtained from each experimental unit (bag) of three flushes and expressed as g bag^{-1} (contain 15 kg of compost)

Average fruit body weight (g fruit body $^{-1}$)

Calculated using the following equation:

Average fruit body weight = Total weight of fruit bodies produced from one bag / Number of fruit bodies produced from one bag

Biological Efficiency (B.E) (%)

This metric evaluates the strain's efficiency in production relative to the amount of the growing medium (compost). It is calculated using the formula:

B.E (%) = [Total weight of fruit bodies (g)] \times 100 / [Dry weight of the compost at spawning Application (g)].

Morphological Traits (cm)

Morphological measurements included the length and diameter of the stipe, , thickness and diameter of the cap, which were measured using vernier calipers.

Statistical Analysis

The experiment was designed according to a completely randomized design (CRD). The data was statistically analyzed using the SAS (Statistical Analysis System) software and the means were compared according to the Duncan's Multiple Range Test at a significance level of 0.05 (Rawi and Khalafallah, 2000).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The impact of the growth-promoting bacteria on the productive traits of the mushroom *A. bisporus*

The results presented in Table (1) indicate variability among the studied treatments with regard to the effects of bacterial isolates and their different concentrations on the production traits of *A. bisporus*. The treatments Pp10, Pp8, Bp8, and Pm10 recorded the highest fruit body yield, reaching 6616.08, 6174.07, 6109.13, and 6082.98 g bag^{-1} , respectively. These treatments showed statistically significant differences compared to the remaining treatments and the control treatment, which recorded a total yield of 5210.60 g bag^{-1} . Conversely, the Pm6 treatment exhibited the lowest fruit body yield at 5157.11 g bag^{-1} . From the same table, it is evident that the Pp10 recorded the highest number of fruit bodies, reaching 242 fruit bodies bag^{-1} , showing statistically significant differences from all other treatments with an increase of 15.70% compared to the Pp8 treatment, which followed with 204 fruit bodies bag^{-1} , while the lowest number of fruit bodies was 122 fruit bodies bag^{-1} in Pm8 treatment. Regarding the average fruit body weight, Pm8 showed superiority, with a weight of 38.57 g fruit body $^{-1}$, followed by the Pp6 treatment at 35.64 g fruit body $^{-1}$, while the Pp10 treatment ranked last, with a weight of 27.40 g fruit body $^{-1}$. In terms of biological efficiency (B.E), the table reveals that the treatments Pp10, Pp8, Bp8, Pm10, and Bp10 recorded the highest biological efficiencies at 81%, 76%, 76%, 75%, and 71% with percentage increases of 20.99%, 15.79%, 15.79%, 14.67%, and 9.86% over the control, respectively, on the other hand, Pf8 treatment recorded the lowest biological efficiency at 62%.

The results of this experiment are in line with the findings of numerous researchers. For example, in a study conducted by Zarenejad et al. (2012), about 23 growth-promoting bacterial isolates were identified from 14 different casing soils, two of which belonged to *Pseudomonas putida* (Bt4 and Ps7) and were found to be the most beneficial for commercial mushroom growth, yielding the highest fruit body production. Additionally, Kim et al. (2008) demonstrated that the use of the *Pseudomonas* strain P7014 to support *P. eryngii* led to a 1.6-fold increase in growth, stimulated the growth of primordia, reduced the time to appearance, and increased the weight of fruit bodies compared to the control .

In another study, researchers found that inoculating the casing layer of the *A. bisporus* mushroom with *P. putida* and *P. fluorescens* resulted in an increase in yield by 26.62% and 9.07% respectively, although the latter result was not statistically significant (Rainey et al., 1990). Cho et al. (2003) also reported that inoculating the mushroom casing layer with *P. fluorescence* stimulated the formation of primordia and hastened their maturation.

The preceding table reveals a significant incremental enhancement in fruit body yield, biological efficiency, and fruit body count with the augmentation of the bacterial isolates *P. putida*, and these characteristics reached their highest levels in the Pp8 and Pp10. This can be attributed to the symbiotic interaction of these bacteria with fungal hyphae in the casing layer and compost, which have the benefit of reducing volatile substances such as ethylene produced by fungal hyphae during growth. These substances inhibit primordia formation. Consequently, these bacteria are considered fruit body promoters (Chen et al., 2013). Noble et al. (2009) affirmed that *P. putida* bacteria adhere to fungal hyphae and consume the volatile organic compound, 1-octen-3-ol (a promoter of vegetative growth and inhibitor of fruit), thereby promoting fruit body formation.

The increase of *A. bisporus* yield by *P. putida* (Pp8 and Pp10), as well as Bp8 and Pm10 attributed to *B. pumilus* and *P. mosselii*, can be traced back to the direct nourishment of *A. bisporus* on these bacteria and their constituents. This contributes to fungal hyphae growth, fruit body formation, and increased mushroom yield, a phenomenon corroborated by Vos et al. (2017), who observed a reduction of bacterial biomass by 75% during the production stage, compensating for an increase in fruit bodies. This suggests direct nourishment of the fungus on bacteria and their constituents in the later stages of fruit body production.

Many types of bacteria release growth-promoting substances that positively influence production characteristics. Bacteria belonging to the *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* genera have been found to secrete the hormone IAA (indole-3-acetic acid), which stimulates fungal hyphal growth during the vegetative stage (Kang and Cho, 2014). This has a positive impact on increasing the number of fruit bodies, fresh and dry weight, protein content, and cap diameter (Mohammad and Sabaa, 2013). Lotfi et al. (2018) affirmed a correlation between IAA production and fresh fungal weight on one hand, and IAA production and the number of fruit bodies on the other, attributing the positive impact observed in the studied characteristics to the secretion of IAA and its stimulating effect on mushroom growth. Moreover, Young et al. (2013) demonstrated the ability of these bacterial species to fix nitrogen and solubilize phosphate, further promoting growth and reducing the number of days required for primordia formation. Additionally, growth-promoting bacteria present in the casing layer have an indirect effect on fungal tissues and growth enhancement. They inhibit microorganisms causing mushroom diseases, such as bacteria or fungi commonly found in the agricultural environment and casing layer (Baat et al., 2022). Some of them also have the ability to combat diseases associated with fungal growth. Several bacterial species distributed in the casing layer are known to control bacterial blotch disease, which is prevalent in production facilities, and reduce the infection rate, which can sometimes reach 100%, as with *P. putida*, *P. fluorescens*, and *P. reactans* (Ghasemi et al., 2020).

Table (1) The effect of growth-promoting bacteria on the productive traits of the mushroom *A. bisporus*

Treatments	Mushroom yield			
	Total yield (g bag ⁻¹)	Number of fruit bodies (fruit bodies bag ⁻¹)	Fruit body weight (g fruit body ⁻¹)	Biological efficiency (%)
Bp6	5234.62 d	168 d-f	31.37 c-e	65 d
Bp8	6109.13 a-c	201 bc	30.28 de	76 ab
Bp10	5785.20 b-d	174 c-f	33.33 bc	71 a-d
Pf6	5370.46 b-d	177 b-f	30.32 de	67 cd
Pf8	5002.00 d	157 e-g	31.88 cd	62 d
Pf10	5662.16 b-d	193 b-d	29.29 d-f	70 b-d
Pm6	5157.11 d	164 e-g	31.50 c-e	63 d
Pm8	5300.91 cd	137 g	38.57 a	65 cd
Pm10	6082.98 a-c	182 b-e	33.57 bc	75 a-c
Pp6	5298.79 cd	149 fg	35.64 b	65 cd
Pp8	6174.07 ab	204 b	30.27 de	76 ab

Pp10	6616.08 a	242 a	27.40 f	81 a
Control	5210.60 d	180 b-e	28.96 ef	64 d

*Similar letters indicate that there are no significant differences according to Duncan's multiple test at the probability level of 0.05.

The impact of the growth-promoting bacteria on the morphological characteristics of *A. bisporus* fruit bodies

Results from Table 2 indicate that the highest cap diameter was achieved by Pm6 and Bp10, measuring 6.45 and 6.41 cm, respectively, followed by Pm10 with a cap diameter of 6.35 cm. In contrast, Pp10 recorded the lowest cap diameter at 5.88 cm. From the same table, Pm8 recorded the highest cap thickness at 2.91 cm, followed by Pm6 with a cap thickness of 2.84 cm, while the lowest cap thickness was 2.21 cm in Pf10 treatment. Regarding stem diameter, the results show that Pm8 recorded the highest stem diameter at 2.66 cm, followed by 2.47 cm by Bp10 compared to lowest stem diameter at 1.79 cm by Pp10.

Pp10 and Pf8 had the longest stipes, measuring 2.90 and 2.74 cm, respectively, followed by the control with a stipe length of 2.69 cm. However, The shortest stipe length was 2.11 cm in treatment of Pm6. It is noteworthy from the table 1 results that treatments with fewer fruit bodies exhibited better characteristics in terms of cap diameter, cap thickness, stipes diameter, and stipes length. The correlation in table 3 indicates a positive relationship between fruit body weight and each of stipes diameter, cap diameter, and cap thickness, with correlations of 0.294, 0.342, and 0.419, respectively. This may be attributed to the lower number of fruit bodies and reduced crowding within the unit area, leading to increased nutrient availability and improved ventilation in the surrounding environment. Consequently, the fruit bodies in these treatments experienced optimal growth. Conversely, Pp10, which involved the addition of *P. putida* at a concentration of 10^{10} cells/mL, directly or indirectly stimulated the formation of primordia (as discussed in the production characteristics), resulting in increased crowding within the unit area. This competition for nutrients, along with reduced oxygen and increased carbon dioxide levels, led to smaller caps and longer stipes for the fruit bodies, as well as a reduced fruit body weight (27.40 g). This observation aligns with the negative correlations identified in table 3 between cap diameter and stipes length (-0.346) and between fruit body weight and the number of fruit bodies (-0.740).

These findings are in agreement with previous studies by Kivaisi (2007) and Nayak et al. (2015), which suggested that an increase in carbon dioxide concentration leads to longer stipes and occasionally irregularly shaped fruit bodies. Additionally, the measurements of cap diameter and thickness, as well as stipes diameter, align with the findings of Eren (2022). However, they differ from Eren's results regarding stipes length, stipes diameter, and cap thickness.

Table (2) The effect of growth-promoting bacteria on the morphological characteristics of the mushroom *A. bisporus*

Treatments	Morphological characteristics			
	Cap diameter (cm)	Cap thickness (cm)	Stipe diameter (cm)	Stipe length (cm)
Bp6	6.24 a-c	2.62 a-c	2.30 a-d	2.64 a-c
Bp8	6.32 ab	2.62 a-c	2.38 a-d	2.45 a-c
Bp10	6.41 a	2.76 ab	2.47 ab	2.44 a-c
Pf6	6.20 a-c	2.44 bc	1.79 d	2.68 a-c
Pf8	6.30 a-c	2.55 a-c	1.89 cd	2.74 ab
Pf10	6.30 a-c	2.21 c	1.97 b-d	2.56 a-c
Pm6	6.45	2.84	2.47	2.11

	a	ab	a-c	c
Pm8	6.34 ab	2.91 a	2.66 a	2.29 bc
Pm10	6.35 ab	2.67 ab	2.23 a-d	2.20 bc
Pp6	6.12 ab	2.56 a-c	1.92 bcd	2.28 bc
Pp8	6.05 a-c	2.49 bc	1.81 cd	2.62 a-c
Pp10	5.88 c	2.43 bc	1.79 d	2.90 a
Control	5.97 bc	2.59 a-c	2.10 a-d	2.69 a-c

*Similar letters indicate that there are no significant differences according to Duncan's multiple test at the probability level of 0.05.

Table (3) The simple correlation between yield characteristics, its components, and phenotypic characteristics of the mushroom *A. bisporus*

	Number of fruit bodies	Total yield	Fruit body weight	Stipe length	Stipe diameter	Cap diameter	Cap thickness
Number of fruit bodies	-----						
Total yield	0.828**	-----					
Fruit body weight	-0.740**	-0.249	-----				
Stipe length	0.399*	0.267	-0.358*	-----			
Stipe diameter	-0.282	-0.159	0.294	-0.352*	-----		
Cap diameter	-0.345*	-0.178	0.342*	-0.346*	0.318*	-----	
Cap thickness	-0.340*	-0.158	0.419**	-0.332*	0.373*	0.330*	-----
Biological efficiency	0.830**	0.997**	-0.257	0.254	-0.180	-0.191	-0.171

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, among the four bacterial isolates tested, *Pseudomonas putida* at a concentration of 10^{10} cells ml⁻¹ demonstrated superior performance in terms of yield, biological efficacy, number of fruit bodies, and stipe length for the mushroom *A. bisporus*, exhibiting significant percentage increases compared to the control treatment. Additionally, *Pseudomonas mosselii* at a concentration of 10^8 cells ml⁻¹ outperformed other treatments in fruit body weight, cap thickness, and stipe diameter, with substantial percentage enhancements over the control treatment. These findings highlight the potential of these bacterial isolates for enhancing mushroom cultivation outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Daraji, M. S. and Hassan, A.A. (2022). Efficiency of residues of *Agaricus bisporus* medium fortified with microelements in controlling Rhizctonia rot disease on cowpea plant caused by Rhizctonia solani. Ann. For. Res. 65(1): 8515-8524, 2022
2. Al-Rawi, Khashi Mahmoud and Abdul Aziz Khalafallah (1980)... Design and analysis of agricultural experiments - College of Agriculture and Forestry. University of Al Mosul . Higher Education Press in Mosul
3. Assemie, A., & Abaya, G. (2022). The Effect of Edible Mushroom on Health and Their Biochemistry. In *International Journal of Microbiology* (Vol. 2022). Hindawi Limited.
4. Braat, N., Koster, M. C., & Wösten, H. A. B. (2022). Beneficial interactions between bacteria and edible mushrooms. In *Fungal Biology Reviews* (Vol. 39, pp. 60–72). Elsevier Ltd.
5. Eren, E. (2022). The Effect of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPRs) on Yield and Some Quality Parameters during Shelf Life in White Button Mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus* L.). Journal of Fungi, 8(10).

6. Ghasemi, S., Harighi, B., Azizi, A., Mojarab, M., 2020. Reduction of brown blotch disease and tyrosinase activity in *Agaricus bisporus* infected by *Pseudomonas tolaasii* upon treatment with endofungal bacteria. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 110, 101474.
7. Hassan , A. A., and Mahmoud, A. R. (2003). Outdoor cultivation of two white edible mushrooms *Agaricus bisporus* and *Agaricus bitorquis* . *Iraqi J. Agric.* 8(2):59-66.
8. Hassan, A. A. K., Al Daraji, M. S., & Eraibi Alkurtany, A. (2022 b). Control of brown blotch disease caused by *Pseudomonas tolaasii* by some chemical and biological treatments and its effect on some productive traits of the edible mushroom *Agaricus bisporus*. *Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, 22(4), 135–142.
9. Kang, Y.M., Cho, K.M., 2014. Identification of auxin from *Pseudomonas* sp. P7014 for the rapid growth of *Pleurotus eryngii* mycelium. *Kor. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 50, 15-21.
10. Kim, M. K., Math, R. K., Cho, K. M., Shin, K. J., Kim, J. O., San Ryu, J. & Yun, H. D. (2008). Effect of *Pseudomonas* sp. P7014 on the growth of edible mushroom *Pleurotus eryngii* in bottle culture for commercial production. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(8), 3306-3308.
11. Kirbag, S., Akyuz M, 2009. Evaluation of agricultural wastes for the cultivation of *Pleurotus eryngii* (DC. ex Fr.) Quel. var. *ferulae* Lanzi. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 7 (20): 3660-3664
12. Kivaisi, A. K. (2007). *Mushroom Cultivation in Tanzania*. University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Pp. 42.
13. Lotfi, M. ,M. Farsi, A. Mirshamsi Kakhki and J. Janpoor .(2018). Influence of *Pseudomonas putida* Isolates on the Yield of Edible White Button Mushroom *Agaricus bisporus*. *Journal of Horticultural Science*.32(2): 273-286.
14. Mattila, P., P. Salo-Vaananen, H. Kanko Aro, T. Jalava (2002). Composition and amino acid contents of Mushrooms cultivated in Basic Finland. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 50(22): 6419-6422.
15. McGee CF, Byrne H, Irvine A, Wilson J (2017) Diversity and dynamics of the DNA- and cDNA-derived compost fungal communities throughout the commercial cultivation process for *Agaricus bisporus*. *Mycologia* 109(3):475-484.
16. McGee, C. F. (2018). Microbial ecology of the *Agaricus bisporus* mushroom cropping process. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 102(3), 1075-1083.
17. Mohammad A.O., Sabaa A.E. 2013. Impact of some *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from casing soil on the hyphal growth of *Agaricus bisporus*. *Canadian Journal on Computing in Mathematics, Natural Sciences, Engineering and Medicine*, 4 (1), 45-48
18. Murmu, R., & Lal, A. A. (2016). Biochemical estimation and cultivation of *Agaricus bisporus* (Lange) Imbach on different casing materials and bio-inoculant *Pseudomonas putida*. In *Journal of Applied and Natural Science* 8(1).
19. Navarro, M. J., Gea, F. J., Pardo-Giménez, A., Martínez, A., Raz, D., Levanon, D., & Danay, O. (2020). Agronomical valuation of a drip irrigation system in a commercial mushroom farm. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 265(30).
20. Nayak, P.C., Raju, C.V., Lakshmisha, I.P., Singh, R.R., Sofi, F.R. 2015. Influence of Button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) on quality and refrigerated storage stability of patties prepared from sutchi catfish (*Pangasius hypophthalmus*). *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 52, 3529–3538.
21. Noble, R., Dobrovin, A., Hobbs, P., Rodger, A. and Pederby, J.(2009). Volatile C8 compounds and pseudomonads influence primordium formation of *Agaricus bisporus*. *Mycologia*. 101:583-591.
22. Oei, P., (2005) . *Small-scale Mushroom Cultivation (Oyster, Shiitake and Wood Ear Mushrooms)*. Digigrafi,no40 Wageningen, The Netherlands 86 Pp .
23. Rainey, P. B., A. L. J., Cole, T. R. Fermor, and D. A Wood. 1990. A model system for examining involvement of bacteria in basidiome initiation of *Agaricus bisporus*. *Mycological Research* 94:191-195.
24. Royse, D. J., Baars, J. J. P., & Tan, Q. (2017). Current Overview of Mushroom Production in the World. In D. C. Zied, & A. Pardo-Giménez (Eds.), *Edible and Medicinal Mushrooms: Technology and Applications* [2] Wiley-Blackwell: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2017, pp. 5–14
25. Ulzijargal, E., Yang, J.H., Lin, L.Y., Chen, C.P. and Mau, J.L. (2013). Quality of bread supplemented with mushroom mycelia. *Food Chem.*, 138, 70–76.
26. Vos, A. M., Heijboer, A., Boschker, H. T., Bonnet, B., Lugones, L. G., and Wösten, H. A. (2017). Microbial biomass in compost during colonization of *Agaricus bisporus*. *AMB Express*, 7(1), 12.
27. Young, L.S., Chu, J.N., Hameed, A., Young, C.C., 2013. Cultivable mushroom growth-promoting bacteria and their impact on *Agaricus blazei* productivity. *Pesqui. Agropecu. Bras.* 48: 636-644.
28. Zarenejad, F., Yakhchali, B., and Rasooli, I. (2012) Evaluation of indigenous potent mushroom growth promoting bacteria (MGPB) on *Agaricus bisporus* production. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 28: 99–104.