



LEVEL OF DISTRIBUTION OF HEMIPTERA: DIASPIDIDAE IN THE NORTHEAST REGION OF UZBEKISTAN

Jurabek Nodirjonovich Yakhyoev

Junior researcher, Institute of Zoology of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Khojimurod Khamrokulovich Kimsanbayev

Doctor of Biological Sciences, Tashkent State Agrarian University

Bakojon Egamberdiyevich Murodov

Candidate of Biological Sciences, Tashkent State Agrarian University

Zukhra Yuldashevna Akhmedova

Candidate of Biological Sciences, Institute of Zoology of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Dr.jurabek.net@gmail.com, +998977551235

Article history:		Abstract:
Received	February 28 th 2021	Dangerous pest is widespread in Uzbekistan. The most dangerous of these are diaspidids. They damage many plants. Damages apple, pear, plum, quince, peach, almond, hawthorn, pine, poplar and other orchards and ornamental trees. According to the taxonomic composition of the fauna of diaspidids found in fruit and ornamental plants of Tashkent region, the number of generations in the family Diaspididae in the fauna is 18 (Diaspidiotus, Diaspis, Dynaspidiotus, Unaspis, Shansiaspis, Salicicola, Parlatoria, Lepidosapas, Lepidosaphes, Lepidosaphes, Lepidosaphes Carulaspis, Rhizaspidiotus, and Pseudaulacaspis), and the number of species was 30. Diaspidiotus (8 species, 26,6%) and Lepidosaphes (4 species, 13,3%) predominate in the distribution of species, while Diaspis, Dynaspidiotus, Unaspis, Shansiaspis, Salicicola, Parlatoria, Leucaspis, Aulacaspis, Aonidia, Chon. The number of species of the genus Chlidaspis, Prodiaspis, Mercetaspis, Carulaspis and Rhizaspidiotus is monotypic, the representatives of the genus Parlatoria and Chionaspis have 2 species and account for 13,3% of the total fauna. , Aonidia, Chlidaspis, Prodiaspis, Mercetaspis, Carulaspis and Rhizaspidiotus genus have 1 species, accounting for 46,7% of the total fauna.
Accepted:	March 11 th 2021	
Published:	March 30 th 2021	

Keywords: Population, bioecology, habitat, mature breed, offspring, larvae, shields.

INTRODUCTION.

In the world today, special attention is paid to diaspidological research, the study of the faunal composition of different regions by modern methods, the study of their origin on the basis of the evolution of diaspidids. Work has been developed on the faunistic composition, biology, ecology, taxonomic composition, trophic relationships and phylogeny of diaspidofauna of ecological zones in different regions. It should be noted that research is needed to identify the fauna of diaspidids in fruit and ornamental plants, their morpho-ecological properties, biological characteristics of some common species, adaptation to forage plant habitat and the use of combined control methods. In this regard, further development of scientific research, including the identification of species diversity of diaspidids, assessment of the impact of pests on trees and shrubs, the study of the distribution, biology and ecological characteristics of the most serious species, is of great scientific and practical importance.

In our country, along with various sectors of agriculture, great attention is paid to the full satisfaction of the needs of the population in fruit and vegetable products through the development of horticulture on the basis of state programs. In this regard, in particular, new intensive gardens have been established, and a number of measures have been taken to increase productivity. The Action Strategy for the further development of the Republic of Uzbekistan sets tasks to further strengthen the country's food security, expand the production of environmentally friendly products, and significantly increase the export potential of the agricultural sector. In carrying out these tasks, scientific and practical research aimed at identifying the fauna of diaspidids and their seasonal dynamics, including the improvement of coordinated protection measures against them, plays an important role.

MATERIALS AND METHODS.

The research was conducted in Tashkent region, Institute of Zoology of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan, Laboratory of Entomophagous Ecology and Theoretical Foundations of Biosteres, Tashkent State Agrarian University, Department of Plant Protection and the State Plant Quarantine Inspectorate under the Cabinet of Ministers, Plant Quarantine Research Center.

In total, samples of diaspidids belonging to 18 genera in the Diaspididae family were collected from different developmental stages of the identified species. Specimens of these insects in the offspring are as follows: Diaspidiotus 8, Diaspis 1, Dynaspidiotus 1, Unaspis 1, Shansiaspis 1, Salicicola 1, Parlatoria 2, Lepidosaphes 4, Leucaspis 1, Aulacaspis 1, Aonidia, Aonidia. 2 specimens were collected, Chlidaspis 1, Prodiaspis 1, Mercetaspis 1, Carulaspis 1, Rhizaspidotus 1, and Pseudaulacaspis 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

The fauna and taxonomic analysis of diaspidids found in fruit and ornamental trees of Tashkent region were studied. The following are the results of taxonomic analysis of diaspidids found in fruit and ornamental plants of Tashkent region (Table 1).

Table 1.
Taxonomic list of diaspidids in Tashkent region

№		Order	<i>Hemiptera</i>
		Family	<i>Diaspididae</i>
		Latin name	Synonym
Genus			<i>Diaspidiotus</i>
1	1	<i>Diaspidiotus perniciosus</i> (Comstock, 1881)	<i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i>
2	2	<i>Diaspidiotus elaeagni</i> (Borchsenius, 1939)	<i>Aspidiotus elaeagni</i>
3	3	<i>Diaspidiotus salicis</i> (Lupo, 1953)	<i>Quadraspidiotus salicis</i>
4	4	<i>Diaspidiotus transcaspensis</i> (Marlatt, 1908)	<i>Hendaspidiotus transcaspensis</i>
5	5	<i>Diaspidiotus slavonicus</i> (Green, 1934)	<i>Targionia slavonica</i>
6	6	<i>Diaspidiotus prunorum</i> (Laing, 1931)	<i>Diaspidiotus prunorum</i>
7	7	<i>Diaspidiotus turanicus</i> (Borchsenius, 1935)	<i>Aspidiotus turanicus</i>
8	8	<i>Diaspidiotus</i> (Archangelskaya, 1937)	<i>leguminosum</i> <i>Aspidiotus leguminosum</i>
Genus			<i>Diaspis</i>
9	1	<i>Diaspis</i> (Kerner, 1778)	<i>bromeliae</i> <i>Coccus bromeliae</i>
Genus			<i>Dynaspidiotus</i>
10	1	<i>Dynaspidiotus</i> (Lindinger, 1912)	<i>ephedrarum</i> <i>Aspidiotus ephedrarum</i>
Genus			<i>Unaspis</i>
11	1	<i>Unaspis euonymi</i> (Comstock, 1881)	<i>Unaspis evonymi</i>
Genus			<i>Shansiaspis</i>
12	1	<i>Shansiaspis ovalis</i> (Chen, 1983)	<i>Chionaspis engeddensis</i>
Genus			<i>Salicicola</i>
13	1	<i>Salicicola</i> (Lindinger, 1929)	<i>archangelskyae</i> <i>Leucaspis archangelskyae</i>
Genus			<i>Parlatoria</i>
14	1	<i>Parlatoria oleae</i> (Colvée, 1880)	<i>Diaspis oleae</i>
15	2	<i>Parlatoria</i> (Lindinger, 1911)	<i>ephedrae</i> <i>Parlatorea ephedrae</i>
Genus			<i>Lepidosaphes</i>
16	1	<i>Lepidosaphes</i> (Archangelskaya, 1937)	<i>turanica</i> <i>Mytilaspis turanica</i>

17	2	<i>Lepidosaphes juniperi</i> (Lindinger, 1912)		<i>Insulaspis juniperi</i>
18	3	<i>Lepidosaphes ulmi</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		<i>Coccus ulmi</i>
19	4	<i>Lepidosaphes</i> (Archangelskaya, 1930)	<i>pistaciae</i>	<i>Pistaciaspis pistaciae</i>
Genus				<i>Leucaspis</i>
20	1	<i>Leucaspis</i> (Maskell, 1879)	<i>gigas</i>	<i>Diaspis gigas</i>
Genus				<i>Aulacaspis</i>
21	1	<i>Aulacaspis rosarum</i> (Borchsenius, 1958)		<i>Aulacaspis thoracica</i>
Genus				<i>Aonidia</i>
22	1	<i>Aonidia</i> (Borchsenius, 1962)	<i>isfarensis</i>	<i>Cupressaspis isfarensis</i>
Genus				<i>Chionaspis</i>
23	1	<i>Chionaspis salicis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		<i>Coccus salicis</i>
24	2	<i>Chionaspis</i> (Leonardi, 1908)	<i>etrusca</i>	<i>Chionaspis engeddensis</i>
Genus				<i>Chlidaspis</i>
25	1	<i>Chlidaspis asiatica</i> (Archangelskaya, 1930)		<i>Chionaspis asiatica</i>
Genus				<i>Prodiaspis</i>
26	1	<i>Prodiaspis</i> (Malenotti, 1916)	<i>tamaricicola</i>	<i>Adiscodiaspis tamaricicola</i>
Genus				<i>Mercetaspis</i>
27	1	<i>Mercetaspis</i> (Green, 1923)	<i>halli</i>	<i>Nilotaspis halli</i>
Genus				<i>Carulaspis</i>
28	1	<i>Carulaspis</i> (Bouché, 1851)	<i>juniper</i>	<i>Diaspis juniperi</i>
Genus				<i>Rhizaspidotus</i>
29	1	<i>Rhizaspidotus</i> (Lindinger, 1911)	<i>canariensis</i>	<i>Aspidiotus canariensis</i>
Genus				<i>Pseudaulacaspis</i>
30	1	<i>Pseudaulacaspis pentagona</i> (Targioni Tozzetti, 1886)		<i>Diaspis pentagona</i>

As can be seen from the table, according to the taxonomic composition of the fauna of diaspidids found in fruit and ornamental plants of Tashkent region, the number of generations in the family Diaspididae in the fauna is 18 (*Diaspidiotus*, *Diaspis*, *Dynaspidotus*, *Unaspis*, *Shansiaspis*, *Saliciaspis*, *Salicicola*, *Parlator*, *Parlator Prodiaspis*, *Mercetaspis*, *Carulaspis*, *Rhizaspidotus* and *Pseudaulacaspis*), and the number of species is 30.

CONCLUSION.

According to the taxonomic composition of the fauna of diaspidids found in fruit and ornamental plants of Tashkent region, the number of generations in the family Diaspididae in the fauna is 18 (*Diaspidiotus*, *Diaspis*, *Dynaspidotus*, *Unaspis*, *Shansiaspis*, *Salicicola*, *Parlatoria*, *Lepidosapas*, *Lepidosaphes*, *Lepidosaphes*, *Lepidosaphes*, *Carulaspis*, *Rhizaspidotus*, and *Pseudaulacaspis*), and the number of species was 30.

Diaspidiotus (8 species, 26,6%) and *Lepidosaphes* (4 species, 13,3%) predominate in the distribution of species, while *Diaspis*, *Dynaspidotus*, *Unaspis*, *Shansiaspis*, *Salicicola*, *Parlatoria*, *Leucaspis*, *Aulacaspis*, *Aonidia*, *Chon*. The number of species of the genus *Chlidaspis*, *Prodiaspis*, *Mercetaspis*, *Carulaspis* and *Rhizaspidotus* is monotypic, the representatives of the genus *Parlatoria* and *Chionaspis* have 2 species and account for 13,3% of the total fauna. *Aonidia*, *Chlidaspis*, *Prodiaspis*, *Mercetaspis*, *Carulaspis* and *Rhizaspidotus* genus have 1 species, accounting for 46,7% of the total fauna.

Among the identified species, *Diaspidiotus transcaspensis* (Marlatt, 1908), *Diaspis bromeliae* (Kerner, 1778), *Dynaspidotus ephedrarum* (Lindinger, 1912), *Parlatoria ephedrae* (Lindinger, 1911) were recorded for the first time for the diaspidofauna of Tashkent region.

In apple orchards, the purple shield and California shield accounted for 34,4% of the total shield damage rate.

California shield infestation of fruit trees affected 12,8% of pears and apples, 10,1% of plums and apricots, 10,9% of cherries and quinces, 9,7% of peaches, and at least 8,6% of cherries.

REFERENCES

1. Абдрашитова Н.И., Габрид Н.В. Методическое пособие по сбору, изучению и определению кокцид и тлей деревьев и кустарников Кыргызстана. Бишкек. 2005. –С. 181-182.
2. Абдрашитова Н.И. Кокциды деревьев и кустарников города Бишкека // Актуальные проблемы агрономии. - Бишкек, 1994. - С. 33-36.
3. Архангельская А.Д. Кокциды средней Азии. - Ташкент: Издательство Комитета наук Уз.ССР. 1937. -158 с.
4. Архангельский П.П. Садовые кокциды (Coccoidae) Узбекистана. – Ташкент: Соц. наука и техника.1938. - 56 с.
5. Батиашвили И.Д. Методика лабораторных исследований // Вестник Академии наукГССР, 1948. - Т. IX. - С. 48-51.
6. Борхсениус Н.С. Определитель червецов и щитовок (Coccidae) Армении. -Ереван, 1949. -130 с.
7. Борхсениус Н.С. Фауна СССР. Насекомые хоботные, подотр. Червецы и щитовки (Coccidae) семейство мучнистые червецы (Pseudococcidae). - М-Л, 1949. - 382 с.
8. Данциг Е.М. Фауна и экология кокцид (Homoptera, Coccoidea) Ленинградской области.: Автореф. дис. канд. биол. наук.- Л.: 1960. - 17 с.
9. Данциг Е.М. Кокциды (Homoptera, Coccoidea) Ленинградской области // Энтомологическое обозрение.- Санкт-Петербург,1959. - Т. 38, вып. 1. - С. 443-445.
10. Данциг Е.М. Новые и малоизвестные виды мучнистых червецов (Homoptera, Coccoidea, Pseudococcidae) из Ленинградской области // Энтомологическое обозрение.-Санкт-Петербург,1960. - Т. 39, вып. 1. - С. 172-181.
11. Данциг Е.М. Кокциды Дальнего Востока СССР (Homoptera, Coccinea) с анализом филогении кокцид мировой фауны. - Л.: Наука, 1980. - 368 с.
12. Кимсанбаев Х.Х., Муродов Б.Э., Ортиков У.Д., Сулаймонов О.А., Яхёев Ж.Н. Карантинные мероприятия против калифорнийской щитовки (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* Comst) / Агроэкологические аспекты устойчивого развития АПК. – 2019. – С. 91-93.
13. Кимсанбаев Х.Х., Муродов Б.Э., Ортиков У.Д., Анорбаев А.Р., Яхёев Ж.Н. Применения златогазки в борьбе с калифорнийской щитовки (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* comst.) на яблоне // Актуальные проблемы современной науки. – 2019. – № 4 (107). – С. 176-178.
14. Кимсанбаев Х.Х., Муродов Б.Э., Ортиков У.Д., Сулаймонов О.А., Яхёев Ж.Н. Биологическая эффективность применение препарата хектолинеум 5% к.с против калифорнийской щитовки (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* comst.) на яблоне // Актуальные проблемы современной науки. – 2019. – № 4 (107). – С. 179-181.
15. Кимсанбаев Х.Х., Муродов Б.Э., Ортиков У.Д., Сулаймонов О.А., Яхёев Ж.Н. Вредитель яблони калифорнийская щитовка (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* Comst.) и применение препарата Энтомин к.э. против неё // Актуальные проблемы современной науки. – 2020. – № 1 (110). – С. 105-107.
16. Кимсанбаев Х.Х., Муродов Б.Э., Яхёев Ж.Н. Карантинные мероприятия против калифорнийской щитовки (*quadraspidiotu sperniciosus* comst) // Молодой Ученый, – 2020, – С. 63-66.
17. Кимсанбаев Х.Х., Сулаймонов Б.А., Муродов Б.Э., Яхёев Ж.Н. Изучение биоэкологии и фенологическое развитие калифорнийской щитовки (*quadraspidiotus perniciosus* comst.) в Узбекистане // Актуальные проблемы современной науки 2020 г, №5(114), – С. 51-55.
18. Муродов Б.Э., Машарипов У.А., Яхёев Ж.Н. Калифорнийская щитовка – *Quadraspidiotu sperniciosus* Comst // Образование и наука в России и за рубежом. – 2017. – № 1 (30). –С. 21-23.
19. Муродов Б.Э., Яхёев Ж.Н. Карантинный вредители внутреннего карантина Республики Узбекистан // Образование и наука в России и за рубежом. – 2017. – № 3 (32). –С. 32-36.
20. Муродов Б.Э., Сулаймонов О.А., Яхёев Ж.Н. Калифорнийская щитовка на яблоне // Образование и наука в России и за рубежом. – 2018. – № 12 (47). – С. 118-122.
21. Муродов Б.Э., Ортиков У.Д., Яхёев Ж.Н. Биоэкология и развития калифорнийской щитовки (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* Comst.) в Узбекистане // Евразийский Союз Ученых (ЕСУ). – 2020. – 5 (74). – С. 39-40.
22. Ортиков У.Д., Яхёев Ж.Н., Пардаев Х.Х. Опасный кокцид. Калифорнийская щитовка (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* Comst) // Образование и наука в России и за рубежом. – 2018. – № 6 (41). – С. 105-107.
23. Яхёев Ж.Н., Кимсанбаев Х.Х., Муродов Б.Э., Сулаймонов О.А., Развития калифорнийской щитовки в Узбекистане // Образование и наука в России и за рубежом. – 2018. – № 16. – С. 225-228.
24. Kosztarab M., Kozar F. Fauna Hungariae, N. 131, Vol. XVII, Part 22, Scale Insects - Coccoidea - Budapest, 1978. 192 p.
25. Kosztarab M., Kozar F. Scale Insects of Central Europe // - Budapest, 1988. 456 p.
26. Kimsanbayev X.X., Murodov B.E., Ortikov U.D., Sulaymonov O.A., Yakhyoyev J.N. Bioecology, crystal pharmaceutical support and efficiency of california shield // International Journal of Research. With impact factor 5.60. – 2019. – № 6. – P. 142-148.
27. Kimsanbayev X.X., Murodov B.E., Ortikov U.D., Yakhyoyev J.N. Extension and harmfulness of californian shield in apple orchards // JOURNAL OF AGRO PROCESSING. 2020 | Pages: 104-112.

28. Murodov B.E., Ortikov U.D., Yakhyoyev J.N. Bioecology of california shield (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* Comst) in Uzbekistan / Proceedings of International Multidisciplinary Scientific Conference on Innovative Technology. Organized by Novateur Publications, India. May 25th, – 2020. – P. 104-107.
29. Murodov B.E., Yakhyoyev J.N. Quarantine Pests Of Internal Quarantine Of The Republic Of Uzbekistan // Education and science in Russia and abroad. 2017 | Pages: 32-36.
30. Yakhyoyev J.N., Kimsanbayev Kh.Kh., Murodov B.E., Sulaymonov B.A. Bioecology And Phenological Development Of The Californian Shield (*Quadraspidiotus Perniciosus* Comst.) In Uzbekistan // The American Journal of Agriculture and Biomedical Engineering. With impact factor 5.312. USA. August 02, 2020 | Pages: 124-131.
31. Yakhyoyev J.N., Kimsanbayev Kh.Kh. Bioecology And Species Of Diaspididae In Fruit Gardens // The American Journal of Agriculture and Biomedical Engineering. With impact factor 5.312. USA. November 30, 2020 | Pages: 104-112.