

Available Online at: https://www.scholarzest.com Vol. 2 No. 12, December 2021, ISSN: 2660-5643

COMBINING ABILITY ESTIMATES AND GENE EFFECTS FOR GRAIN YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN MAIZE (ZEA MAYS L.)

Yasser H. S. AL-Aaty Ministry of Agriculture, Iraq Alreah1987@gmail.com

Keywords: Wheat And Rice, Grains, Leaves, Stems And Silk

Article history: Abstract:

INTRODUCTION

 Maize is the most important cereal crop in the world after wheat and rice, and is characterized by great production potential and has achieved a leading position among cereals on the basis of production and productivity (Keskin et al., 2005), and progress in maize genomics, breeding and production plays a major role in the lives of a large proportion of the world populations (Xu and Crouch, 2008). Each part of the plant in maize has an economic value, as the grains, leaves, stems and silk are used in production of hundreds of food and non-food products. The main purpose of maize breeding is to develop new pure lines and hybrids that are superior to the existing ones with respect to a number of traits, and in working towards this goal, special attention is given to the grain yield trait, as is the case of most of the economically important traits of maize (Vasic et al., 2001), where grain yield is a complex quantitative trait that depends on a number of factors that are inherited in a quantitative manner (Zivanovic et al., 2007), which as a quantitative trait, it is largely influenced by environmental conditions, has a complex gene action and low heritability (Bovanski et al., 2009), as well as influenced by a number of components, including the number of rows per ear, the number of grains per row, and others. Distinguishing the parental pure lines that can be used to develop surpassed crosses is the most costly and time consuming stage in the development of hybrid maize, and the performance of the pure maize lines by itself does not predict the performance of the crosses for grain yield (Hallauer and Miranda, 1988). Predictions of single cross values or hybrid vigor between parental pure lines can for this reason increase the efficiency of hybrids breeding programs (Betran et al., 2003). The main objective of maize breeding is to obtain new hybrids with high genetic potential for production and positive characteristics that exceed the existing

commercial hybrids (Secanski et al., 2005), and for this reason analysis of the combining ability is an important way for the deduction of gene action, and it is often used by crop breeders in choosing parents with high general combining ability and hybrids with high specific combining ability (Yingzhong, 1999). The variance of the general combining ability is related to the additive genetic effects, while that of the specific combining ability includes the nonadditive genetic effects caused by the dominance and epistatic deviations with regard to some traits. In any systematic breeding program, it is necessary to identify surpassed parents to cross between them in order to expand the genetic variations that help in selecting distinct genotypes (Hallauer and Miranda, 1988), and the first basic steps in the development of hybrids is to test the pure lines in terms of their general combining ability effects, and in the field of plant breeding, different mating systems are used, including the factorial mating system (in which a group of lines that use as females are mated with another group that used as males) to test the combining ability for parental lines in order to identify surpassed parents for use in hybrid development programs (Fry, 2004). The combining ability has been tested by many maize breeders, including (Glover et al., 2005, Dawod et al., 2009, Sibiya et al., 2012, El-Badawy, 2013, Al-Falahy et al., 2014, Zhang et al., 2016, Sadalla et al., 2017, Al-Fahad et al, 2020 and Fayyad and Hammadi, 2021). Also, by identifying the gene action and some important genetic parameters such as heritability and the average degree of dominance of the grain yield and its components in maize, useful information can be obtained in the breeding programs of this crop, as the breeder wants to know the amount of variations in the crop that is due to the genetic factor, and to which range is this variation is inherited, because the efficiency of selection depends mainly on additive genetic variance, the effect of the environment and the interaction between the genotype and the environment (Novoselovic et al., 2004). Many studies have been conducted in this field on maize crop, including those carried out by Ojo et al. (2007), Dawud and Abdullah (2011), Khodarahmpour (2011), Al-Falahy et al. (2012), Al Qaisi (2013) and Al Bayati (2013).

__

 The aim of the current study is to evaluate the effects of general and specific combining abilities for nine pure lines of maize and their single crosses, as well as other genetic parameters, including the average degree of dominance and heritability of grain yield and some of its components of other traits using factorial mating design in order to identify surpassed breeding lines and hybrid combinations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

 Nine pure lines of maize were planted in Sharqat (sallahuddin Governorate) during the spring season of 2013 and the single crosses were carried out among them according to the method of the factorial mating system proposed by Comstock and Robinson (1948 and 1952), where the lines were used: (1) DK, (2) OH40 and (3) ZP-607 as Females and the lines (4) ZM47R, (5) G105, (6) ZM51, (7) ZM49R, (8) Inbreed₂ and (9) G54 as males. On July 18, 2013 the seeds of the parents and crosses (nine Parents and 18 single crosses) were sown in Hawija (Kirkuk governorate) using a randomized complete block design with three replications, and the planting was on rows of 3 m length, and the distance between them 0.75 m And a distance of 0.3 m between plants and a distance of 0.30 m between plants. The service operations related by weeding and thinning were carried out, and phosphate fertilizer P_2O_5 was added at a rate of 200 kg per hectare during planting, and nitrogen fertilizer (urea 46% N) at a rate of 200 kg per hectare after a month of planting. The data were recorded on the basis of the individual plant (ten plants from each experimental unit) on the traits: plant height (cm), main ear height (cm), number of ears per plant, ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of rows per ear, number of grains per row, number of grains per ear 300 grains weight (gm) and grain yield per plant (gm).

 The data of all genotypes, parents and crosses were analyzed separately according to the method of experimental design used, and the differences between the mean of any of them were tested by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Al-Zubaidy and Al-Falahy (2016), then the crosses data were analyzed according to the method of the factorial mating system according to the steps explained by Al-Zubaidy and Al-Gubory (2016). The fixed model was adopted to implement the following statistical and genetic procedures:

- (1) Estimate the effects of the general combining ability for males (\hat{q}_i) and females (\hat{q}_i) from the two equations: \hat{q}_i $= \bar{y}_i$.. – \bar{y}_i and $\hat{g}_j = \bar{y}_j$. – \bar{y}_i , where \bar{y}_i . and \bar{y}_i , and \bar{y}_i the mean of the mean of the female j, and the general mean of the trait, respectively.
- (2) Estimate the effects of the specific combining ability for each hybrid (Ŝ_{ij}) from the equation: Ŝ_{ij} = \bar{y}_{ij} . \bar{y}_{i} . \bar{y}_{i} . \bar{y}_{i} . $+$ \bar{y} …, since \bar{S}_{ij} is the mean of the hybrid ij for the trait, and the significance of the general and specific effects was tested from zero through standard error (SE), which was estimated as: SE(\hat{g}_i or \hat{g}_j) = $\sqrt{2\sigma^2}e/r$ and SE(\hat{S}_i) $= \sqrt{4\sigma^2}$ e/r, respectively, where σ^2 e means the variance of the effect of experimental error and estimated by dividing the mean square error (MSe) divided by the number of replicates (r).
- (3) Estimate the components of phenotypic variance $\sigma^2 p$ (additive genetic $\sigma^2 A$, dominant genetic $\sigma^2 D$ and environmental σ^2E) based on the expected mean square from the analysis of variance according to the factorial mating system (fixed model), as:

 $\sigma^2 A = \sigma^2 m + \sigma^2 f$; $\sigma^2 D = \sigma^2 m f$; $\sigma^2 E = \sigma^2 e = M S e / r$,

Since σ^2 m, σ^2 f, σ^2 mf and σ^2 e are the variance of the effect of males, females, the male x female interaction, and the experimental error respectively. The total genetic variance $\sigma^2 G$ and phenotypic variance $\sigma^2 p$ were calculated from the following equations:

 $\sigma^2 G = \sigma^2 A + \sigma^2 D$; $\sigma^2 p = \sigma^2 G + \sigma^2 E = \sigma^2 A + \sigma^2 D + \sigma^2 E$

The significance of the variances from zero was tested by the method shown by Kempthorne (1957).

(4) Estimate heritability in its two senses, broad (h^2 _{bs}) and narrow (h^2 _{ns}), average degree of dominance (\bar{a}) and genetic advance as a percentage of the trait mean (GA%) as follows:

__

h²bs = σ²G/σ²p ; h²ns = σ²A/σ²p ; ā = √2σ²D/σ²A ; GA% = [(i σp h²ns)/⊽...] x100,

 i = means the selection intensity and is equal to 1.75 at the selection rate of 10% of plants, and σp is the phenotypic deviation (which is the root squared of phenotypic variance). The limits of heritability were adopted in the broad sense according to Ali (1999) (less than 40% low, 40-60% medium and more than 60% high) and narrow according to Al-Adary (1999) (less than 20% low, 20-50% medium and above 50% high) and genetic advance according to Agarwal and Ahmad (1982) (less than 10% low, between 10-30% medium and more than 30% high).

 All statistical and genetic analysis were performed using the two available programs, Statistical Analysis System (SAS) and Microsoft Office Excel 2003.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

 The analysis of variance results of all kinds appear in Table (1). For all genotypes, parents, or hybrids, it appears that the mean squares was significant at 1% probability level for all traits, except for ear diameter and number of rows per ear (it was significant at the probability level of 5%) and, plant height, number of ears per plant and ear length (it did not reach the significant limit) in case of parents, and that related to all parents verse hybrids was not significant for the two traits, main ear height and number of rows per ear, significant at the probability level of 5% for number of ears per plant and at a probability level of 1% for other traits. These results indicate that there are genetic variations between the nine lines of maize approved in the study, indicating the genetic divergence between them, and greater variations between the resulting single crosses. As a result of the highly significant mean squares of the genotypes for all traits, it becomes necessary to continue studying the genetic behavior of all traits to identify the gene action that controls them. In order to implement this, an analysis of variance was conducted for the hybrids using the factorial mating design method, and its results presented in the same table indicated that the mean squares of each of males, females and the interaction between them was highly significant for all traits, except number of ears per plant and number of grains per ear in case of males (significant in them at the level of Probability 5%), number of ears per plant and grains yield per plant in case of females and number of grains per ear in case of male x female interaction (not significant in it), and that related to males verse females was not significant number of grains per ear and highly significant for the other traits. Table (2) shows the means of the nine lines (females and males) and their general combining ability effects for the studied traits under. For females, it is noted that the differences between the means of

the lines for the two traits of, number of ears per plant and grain yield per plant are not significant, and that the line OH40 gave the highest means for the two traits (1.136 ear and 236.018 g), and at the same time, the same line showed a non-significant desirable general combining ability effects for the two traits. The line OH40 surpassed by highest means for traits: plant height, ear length, number of rows per ear, number of grains per row and number of grains per ear, and at the same time it showed desirable effects for the general combining ability for these traits, reaching the significant limit for plant height and ear length, while the line ZP-607 surpassed by highest means for plant height and 300 grains weight (4.818 cm and 128,468 g, respectively), and also showed desired general combining ability effects which was significant for plant height trait only. It is noted from the comparison between the male parental lines and their general combining ability effects (Table 2), that the line G54 gave the highest means for plant height, main ear height, number of ears per plant, ear length and number of rows per ear (199.508 cm, 131,906 cm, 1,246, 21.539 cm and 16,492 rows, respectively), as well as the significant and desirable general combining ability effects for ear diameter and number of grains per ear. The line ZM49R surpassed by highest means for number of grains per row and ear (37.180 and 572.05 grains, respectively), and its general combining ability effects were desirable but not significant for the two traits. The pure line ZM51 surpassed by highest means for the two traits, 300 grains weight and grain yield per plant (137,564 and 256.00 gm, respectively), but its general combining ability effects for the two traits were not significant. Finally, the Inbreed₂ line was surpassed by highest mean for ear diameter of 5.080 cm, with a significant difference from all other lines, and at the same time it showed a desirable significant general combining ability effect. The previous results indicate the possibility of benefiting from the distinguished lines with their mean performance general combining ability effects in the breeding programs to transfer the traits that characterized them, especially the two lines OH40 and G54. From previous studies, other researchers obtained similar results on means performance and general combining ability effects in some of the lines approved in their studies, including: Glover et al. (2005), Dawod et al. (2009), Sibiya et al (2012), EL-Badawy (2013) and Al-Falahy et al. (2014). The comparison between the general performance means foe females and males indicates that those related to females was significantly higher for all traits, except number of ears per plant and number of rows per ear, where the two means were close. Table (3) shows the means of single crosses for studied maize traits, and it is noted for the trait of plant height that the hybrid (1×9) had the highest mean of 200.28 cm with a non-significant difference from the hybrids (2×9) , (3×5) , (3×6) , (3×7) and (3×9) , and the main ear height ranged between 99.523 and 138.61 cm, with a significant superiority of the hybrid (2 x 9) over all other hybrids, except for the two hybrids (1×9) and (2×5) , as the highest number of ears per plant was 1,273 in the hybrid (3×9) with a significant difference from the hybrid (3 x 5) only. For the ear length, the highest mean reached 21,863 cm in the hybrid (2 x 5), with a non-significant difference from most of the other crosses. The ear diameter of the crosses

__ ranged between 4.543 cm and 5.327 cm, with significant superiority of the hybrid (2 x 8) over all other crosses. The two hybrids (1×6) and (2×4) had the highest number of rows per ear, reaching 17.00 and 17.267 rows, respectively, and the differences between them and most other crosses were significant. For the number of grains per row, the hybrid (2 x 7) surpassed by giving the highest mean of 38,507 grains with a non-significant difference from most of the crosses. The highest means of the number of grains per ear were 582.48, 590.24, 588.67 and 566.04 grains in hybrids (1×7) , (2×4) , (2×9) and (3×7) respectively, and its differences were significant with all other hybrids. The weight of 300 grains ranged between 111.51 gm in the hybrid (2 x 9) and 142.39 gm in the hybrid (2 x 6), with a significant superiority of the latter over some other hybrids. Finally, the hybrid (2 x 6) had the highest grain yield per plant of 303.89 g, with significant surpass over all other hybrids, and with an increase of 78.235% over the lowest yield in the hybrid (2 \times 4). In general, it is noted that the two hybrids (2 \times 5) and (2 \times 9) had good performance means for the largest number of traits reached seven, followed by the hybrid (1 x 9) with good performance means for six traits, and the single hybrid (2×6) only had the best mean

Table (1): Analysis of variance results for all genotypes, parents and hybrids using factorial mating method for ten traits in maize.

(**) and (*) significant at 1% and 5% probability levels respectively.

Table (2): Means of parents (females and males) and their general combining ability effects for ten traits in maize.

__

- The mean values for each of females and males followed by the same letter for each trait are not significantly different from each other.

__

 $\overline{}$

- (1) DK, (2) OH40, (3) ZP-607, (4) ZM47R, (5) G105, (6) ZM51, (7) ZM49R, (8) Inbreed2, (9) G54.

The values followed by the same letter for each trait are not significantly different from each other.

	Traits									
Single crosses	Plant height (cm)	Main ear height (cm)	Number ears per plant	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Number rows per ear	Number grains per row	Number grains per ear	300 grains weight (gm)	Grain yield per plant (gm)
1 x 4	-2.008	0.307	0.124	-1.288	0.043	-0.419	0.385	-12.012	6.675	35.456
1×5	-14.173	-7.464	0.058	-0.219	0.056	-0.420	2.368	21.078	9.154	16.106
1×6	-5.888	-5.842	-0.042	0.311	0.367	0.802	-2.578	-13.342	-5.622	-15.824
1×7	4.932	2.298	-0.066	0.336	0.081	0.524	-1.209	4.118	-10.008	-22.634
1×8	8.685	4.484	-0.066	0.407	-0.065	0.045	0.032	7.148	9.340	14.606
1×9	8.436	6.379	-0.012	0.456	-0.111	-0.532	0.999	-6.972	-9.541	-27.714
2×4	-2.486	-1.926	-0.124	0.117	0.003	1.186	-1.506	28.118	-16.984	-43.882
2×5	10.209	11.420	0.060	-0.019	-0.138	0.445	-1.143	-4.562	-0.595	-18.962
2×6	3.974	2.908	-0.070	0.439	0.417	-0.953	2.608	8.228	9.969	43.448
2×7	-2.116	-5.445	0.073	-0.159	-0.043	-0.278	0.344	-20.122	3.073	13.418
2×8	-9.703	-9.539	0.056	0.049	0.235	-0.424	-0.345	-22.302	7.571	-2.572
2×9	-0.152	2.576	-0.017	-0.425	-0.104	0.026	0.045	10.638	-3.030	8.558
3x4	4.452	1.641	-0.001	1.172	-0.047	-0.767	1.122	-16.102	10.307	8.435
3×5	3.907	-4.226	-0.120	0.240	0.082	-0.024	-1.225	-16.522	-8.554	2.855
3×6	1.862	2.965	0.110	-0.749	0.296	0.151	-0.031	5.108	-4.340	-27.625
3x7	-2.858	3.162	-0.028	-0.177	-0.037	-0.247	0.865	15.998	6.934	9.225
3×8	0.965	5.078	0.009	-0.456	-0.169	0.380	0.313	15.148	-16.918	-12.035
3×9	-8.334	-8.927	0.026	-0.030	0.335	0.507	-1.044	-3.652	12.571	19.155
SE	4.235 (1) \mathbb{R} (1) (2) \mathbb{R} (1) (2)	3.356	0.089 \sim \sim \sim	0.548 λ λ λ λ λ	0.082	0.461	1.433	33.564 \sim	6.123 \sim \sim \sim \sim	15.551

Table (4): Specific combining ability effects for single and ten traits of maize.

- (1) DK, (2) OH40, (3) ZP-607, (4) ZM47R, (5) G105, (6) ZM51, (7) ZM49R, (8) Inbreed2, (9) G54.

formance for grain yield per plants, in addition to the traits, ear length, number of grains per row and 300 grains weight. The effects of the specific combining ability of single crosses appear in Table (4), and from it, is noted that the hybrids (1×7) , (1×8) , (1×9) , (2×5) and (3×4) showed significant specific combining ability effects in the desired direction for plant height. For the main ear height, significant desirable specific combining ability effect was observed in the hybrids (1×8) , (1×9) , (2×5) and (3×8) . A significant, desirable specific effect was shown for each of number of ears per plant and ear length in only one cross, which is for each of them, respectively (3×6) and (3×7) 4). The hybrids (1×6) , (2×6) , (2×8) , (3×5) , (3×6) and (3×9) gave a significant desired effect of specific combining ability for ear diameter trait. There was a significant and desirable increase for the trait of number of rows

__ per ear in the hybrids (1×6) , (1×7) , (2×4) and (3×9) and for number of grains per row in the two hybrids (1×5) and (2 x 6), and for 300 grains weight in the hybrids (1 x 4), (1 x 5), (1 x 8), (2 x 6), (2 x 8), (3 x 4), (3 x 7) and (3 x 9), and for grain yield per plant in hybrids (1×4) , (1×5) , (2×6) and (3×9) , as for the number of grains per ear, no significant specific effects appeared in the desired direction in any of the hybrids, while it was desirable nonsignificant in nine crosses. It is noted, in general, that the hybrid (2×6) was surpassed by showing a specific combining ability, desirable for the largest number of traits, which reached eight, and reached the significant limit in four of them: ear diameter, number of grains per row, 300 grains weight and grain yield per plant, followed by the hybrid (3 x 9). Which achieved a desirable increase in five traits and it was significant in the four traits; ear diameter, number of rows per ear, 300 grains weight and grain yield per plant, then the hybrid (1 x 5), which was surpassed by its specific combining ability in six traits that reached the significant limit in three of them, which is number of grains per row, 300 grains weight and grain yield per plant. It is clear from the foregoing that there is a variation between the hybrids in their specific combining ability effects, and that the values of the specific effects were not always related to the values of the general combining ability for both parents, as surpassed hybrids may appear in their specific effect for a trait, at a time when they are arising from two parents having low values of general combining ability or may include one parent with high general combining ability value, nor is it required that the two parents with high general combining ability produce a hybrid with high value for specific combining ability effect for different traits, Singh and Gupta (1969) indicated that the crosses with high values of specific combining ability included one parent with a high value of the general combining ability, and Muhammad et al. (1988) decided that a number of parents with high general combining ability effects gave hybrids with high specific combining ability when crossed with parents with low general combining ability. It is also noted that most of the crosses that were characterized by a significant desired general combining ability had good mean performance for all traits. In view of the fact that the grain yield trait occupies a great importance in breeding programs, the best hybrids were identified on the basis of grain yield per plant with its specific combining ability effects in Table (5), among which the hybrid (2 x 6) record the highest grain yield per plant (303.89 gm), followed by the hybrid (2 x 7) with a mean of 257.78 gm, while the last hybrid (1×4) with a mean

of 244.55 gm. It is noted that the surpassed hybrid in its performance of the grain yield (2×6) , also gave a significant and desirable effect of the specific combining ability for the same trait, and that the two parents who made it had an effect of general combining ability high x high, while the surpassed hybrid in its performance for the trait, (3 x 9).), which had a high specific combining ability effect came from parents with general combining ability low x low, and the result was a high specific combining ability, which may lead to high heterosis. The possibility of a hybrid resulting from two parents low x low in their general combining ability is due to the interaction between the dominant alleles of one parent and the recessive alleles of the other parent (Senthil and Bharathi, 2009), although there are other crosses characterized by the high grain yield and its specific combining ability effect was not significant, and perhaps in an undesirable direction, including (2×7) , (2×9) and (3×7) , and this also indicates that the specific combining ability is a characteristic of the hybrid, perhaps high in some crosses and low in others, and for this reason, evaluation of the characteristic of the hybrid is a must, and in this regard the superior hybrid (2 x 6) can be used as a strong single cross and to test more other crosses.

 Table (6) shows the estimates of genetic parameters for the different traits, and from it, is clear that the additive and dominance genetic variances were significant from zero for all traits, indicating their importance in controlling the inheritance of these traits, and it is noted that the additive genetic variance values were greater than those of the dominant for plant height, main ear height, ear length and diameter, and number of grains per row and ear, and for this reason it is noted that the values of narrow sense heritability were higher, while it was the opposite for the rest of the traits. the narrow sense heritability ranged from 21.053% for number of ears per plant to 67.218% for main ear height, and it was high for plant height, main ear height, ear length and number of grains per row and ear, which means the appropriateness of selection for additive genetic effects between the lines under study, and it was moderate for the other traits, while the broad sense heritability values ranged between 57.834% for number of grains per ear and 95.565% for main ear height, that is, it was moderate for number of grains per ear and high for other

traits. The average degree of dominance was less than one for main ear height and number of grains per ear, indicating the presence of partial dominance, and greater than one for the rest of the traits indicative of over dominance, and these over dominant values, which ranged between 1,044 for plant height and 2,234 for number of rows per ear, and its reason may be due to the distribution of linked genes between parents, and for this, partial dominance appears as over dominance (Hayman, 1954). From previous studies, many researchers reached different results regarding the gene action that controls the inheritance of different traits of maize, for example, Chakraborty et al. (2012) found that the additive genetic variance was more important than the dominant genetic variance in the inheritance of plant height and grain yield traits, and El-Badawy (2013) indicated that the additive gene action was more important for number of rows per ear, while the dominant gen action was more important for the number of grains per row, 100 grains weight and grain yield per plant. Al-Qaisi (2013) showed that both additive and dominant genetic variances differed from zero for grain yield and all its components, except ear length trait, and the values of the dominant variance were higher than the values of the additive variance for all traits, except 300 grains weight, and this indicates the greater importance of the dominant gene action in the inheritance of the studied traits. These differing results can be attributed to differences in genetic material approved in different studies and to differences in environmental conditions, or to the adoption of different methods for estimating genetic parameters. Finally, it is noted that the expected genetic advance in the next generation as a percentage was moderate for main ear height and low for other traits, as it ranged between 2.338% for number of rows per ear and 14.147% for main ear height.

__

 It is concluded from the above the possibility of benefiting from the distinguished lines with their mean performance and general combining ability effects in the breeding programs to transfer the traits that characterized them, especially the two lines OH40 and G54, and the hybrids: (OH40 x ZM51) distinguished by showing a desirable specific combining ability for largest number of traits (eight traits) and reached to the significant limit in four of them: ear diameter, number of grains per row, 300 grains weight and grain yield per plant, and (ZP-607 x G54), which achieved a desirable increase in five traits and was significant in the following four traits: ear diameter, number of rows per ear, 300 grains weight and grain yield per plant, and (DK x G105), which was distinguished by its specific combining ability in six traits, that reached the significant limit in three of them, namely number of grains per row, 300 grains weight and grain yield per plant, in developing highly productive hybrid varieties as well as exploiting the phenomenon of hybrid vigor.

__

REFERENCES

- 1. Agarwal, V. and Z. Ahmad (1982). Heritability and genetic advance in triticale. Indian J. Agric. Res. 16:19-23.
- 2. Al-Adari, A. H. M. (1999). Fundamentals of Genetics. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. University of Mosul.
- 3. Al-Bayati, H. A. H. (2013). Inheritance of single crosses traits in different mating systems of pure lines of maize (Zea mays L.). Ph. D thesis. Department of Field Crops, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul, Iraq.
- 4. Al-Fahad, A. C., H. J. Hammadi and M. R. Azzam (2020). Effect of Sodium Azide Mutagen on Genetic
- 5. Parameters in Maize (Zea mays L.). Indian Journal of Ecology (2020) 47 Special Issue (10), 181-184.
- 6. AL-Falahy, M. A. H., K. M. Dawod and A. S. A. Mohammad (2012). Gene action and combining ability studies in single cross hybrids of maize. J. Duhok Univ., 15(1): 63-71.
- 7. AL-Falahy, M. A. H., K. M. Dawod and A. S. A. Mohammad (2014). Estimation of combining ability for yield and its components using triallel crosses in maize. Int. J. Pure Appl. Sci. Technol., 20(1): 1-11.
- 8. Ali, A. A. A. (1999), Heterosis and gene action in maize (Zea mays L.), Ph. D thesis, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul, Iraq,
- 9. Al-Qaisi, I. Kh. Kh. (2013). Estimation of gene action on some field traits in maize (Zea mays L.).Ph. D thesis, Department of Field Crops, College of Agriculture and Forestry - University of Mosul, Iraq.
- 10. Al-Rawi, K. M. and A. M. Khalaf Allah (2000). Design and analysis of agricultural experiments, Dar Al-Kutub Institution for Printing and Publishing, University of Mosul.
- 11. Betran, F. J., J. M. Ribaut, D. Beck and Gonzalez Deleon D (2003) .Genetic diversity, specific combining ability, and heterosis in tropical maize under stress and non-stress environments. Crop Sci. 43:797-806.
- 12. Bovanski, J., Z. Sreckov and A. Nastastic (2009). Genetic and phenotypic relationship between grain yield and components of grain yield of maize (Zea mays L.). Genetika 41(2):145-154.
- 13. Comstock, R. E. and H. F, Robinson (1948). The components of genetic variance in populations of
- 14. biparental progenies and their use in estimating the average degree of dominance. Biometric 4: 254-266.
- 15. Comstock, R. E. and H. F, Robinson (1952). Estimation of average dominance of genes heterosis. Iowa State College Press. 494-516.
- 16. Dawod, Kh. M. and A. H. Abdullah (2011). Combining ability analysis based on single and three way crosses for protein and oil traits. The Fifth Scientific Conference of the Faculty of Agriculture, Tikrit University, for the period from 26 to 27 April 2011.
- 17. Dawod, K. M., A. S. A. Mohamad and kh. H. Kanosh (2009) . Inheritance of grain yield in half diallel maize population . J. of Tikrit Univ. for Agri. Ecie., 9(3): 412-418.
- 18. EL-Badawy, M. EL. M. (2013). Heterosis and combining ability in maize using diallel among seven new inbred lines. Asian J. of Crop Sci., 5(1): 1-13.
- 19. Fayyad, H. F., H. F and H. J. Hammadi (2021). Estimation of Combining Ability and Gene Action for Yield and Yield Components in Maize (Zea mays L.). Earth and Environmental Science, 761: 1-7.
- 20. Fry, J. D. (2004). Estimation of genetic variances and covariances by restricted maximum likelihood using PROC MIXED. pp. 7-39. In A. R. Saxton (ed.). Genetic analysis of complex traits using SAS. Books by Users Press, SAS Inst., Cary, NC.
- 21. Glover, M, D. Willmot, L. Darrah, B. Hibbard and X. Zhu (2005). Diallel analysis of agronomic traits using Chines and U.S. maize germplasm. Crop Sci. 45(3):1096-1102.
- 22. Hallauer, A. R. and J. B. Miranda (1988). Quantitative Genetics In Maize Breeding. 2nd ed. Iowa State University Press. Ames, IA.
- 23. Hayman, B. I. (1954). The analysis of variance of diallel tables. Biometrics 10:235-244.
- 24. Kempthorne, O. (1957). An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and sons, New York, U S A.
- 25. Keskin, B., I. H. Yilmaz and O. Arvas (2005). Determination of some yield characters of grain corn in eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. J. Agron. 4(1):14-17.
- 26. Khodarahmpour, Z. (2011). Gene action studies of different traits in maize (Zea mays L) under and heat normal condition. J. of American. Sci. 7 (5) : 442 – 448.
- 27. Mohammed, A. A., F. Abd-Alqadir and Kh. M. Dawud (1988). Combining ability analysis and heterosis using diallel cross among local varieties of maize. Mesopotamia J. of Agriculture 20(2): 201-218.

28. Novoselovic, D., M. Baric, G. Drezner, J. Gunjaca and A. Lalic (2004). Quantitative inheritance of some wheat plant traits. Gen. Mol. Biol. 27(1):92-98.

__

- 29. Ojo, G. O. S., D. K. Adedzwa and L. L. Bello (2007). Combining ability estimates and heterosis for grain yield and yield components in maize (Zea mays L.). J. Sustain. Develop. Agric. Environ. 3:49-57.
- 30. Sadalla, H.A, M. O. Barznji and S. A. Kakarash (2017). Full diallel crosses for estimation of genetic
- 31. parameters in maize. The Iraqi J. of Agri. Sci. 48, (special Issue):30-40.
- 32. Secanski, M., T. Zivanovic and G. Todorovic (2005). Components of genetic variability and heritability of the number of rows per ear in silage maize. Biotechnol. Anim. Husb. 21(1-2):109-121.
- 33. Senthil, K. P. and P. Bharthi (2009). Studies on relationship between gca and sca effects in maize
- 34. (Z.mays L.), Elect.J.Plant Breed., 1:24-27.
- 35. Sibiya, J., P. Tongoona; J. Derera and Nv. Rij (2012). Genetic analysis and genotype x environment (G x E) for grey leaf spot disease resistance in elite African maize (*zea mays* L.) germplasm. Euphytica, 185:349-362.
- 36. Singh, K. B. and V. B. Gupta (1969). Combining ability in wheat. Indian J. Genet. And Pl. Breed.,
- 37. 29:53-61.
- 38. Singh, R. K. and B. D. Chaudhary (2007). Biometrical Methods In Quantitative Genetic Analysis.
- 39. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, 304p.
- 40. Vasic, N., M. Ivanovic, L. Peternelli, D. Jockovic, M. Stojakovic and J. Bocanski (2001). Genetic relationships between grain yield and yield components in a synthetic population and their implications in selection. Acta Agronomica Hungarica 49(4):337-342.
- 41. Xu, J. Y. and H. Crouch (2008). Genomics of tropical maize, a stable food and feed across the world. pp.333- 370. In Genomics of Tropical Crop Plants, P. H. Moore and R. Ming (eds.). Springer, London, UK.
- 42. Yingzhong, Z. (1999). Combining ability analysis of agronomic characters in sesame. The Institute of Sustainable Agriculture (IAS), CSIC.
- 43. Zhang, Y. D., X. M. Fan, W. Yao, H. P. Piepho and M. S. Kang (2016). Diallel analysis of four
- 44. maize traits and a modified heterosis hypothesis. Published in Crop Sci. 56, 1115-1126.
- 45. Zivanovic, T., M. Secanski and M. Filipovic (2007) .Combining abilities for the number of kernel rows per ear in silage maize. Plant breeding and seed production, 13(3-4):13-19.

تقديرات القدرة على االتحاد والتأثيرات الجينية لحاصل الحبوب ومكوناته في الذرة الصفراء (.L mays Zea(

 خالد محمد داؤد الزبيدي ياسر حسن صالح العاتي عبد السالم رجب احمد الجميلي جامعة الموصل - كلية الزراعة والغابات وزارة الزراعة ، العراق

الخالصة

 استخدمت في الدراسة تسعة سالالت نقية من الذرة الصفراء، ادخلت في تهجينات وفق طريقة النظام التزاوجي العاملي، حيث استخدمت السالالت DK و40OH و-607ZP بوصفها امهات والسالالت R47ZM و105G و51ZM وR49ZM و 2Inbreed و54G بوصفها آباء ذكور. زرعت اآلباء واالجيال االولى لهجنها الفردية خالل الموسم الخريفي لعام 2013 في قضاء الحويجة، محافظة كركوك بتصمبم القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة بثالثة مكررات، ثم سجلت البيانات عن صفات ارتفاع النبات وارتفاع العرنوص الرئيسي وعدد العرانيص بالنبات وطول العرنوص وقطر العرنوص وعدد الصفوف بالعرنوص وعدد الحبوب بالصف وعدد الحبوب بالعرنوص ووزن 300 حبة وحاصل الحبوب بالنبات. اظهرت النتائج ان هناك اختلافات معنوية عالية بين التراكيب الوراثية (أباء وهجن) للصفات جميعها. اعطت ًالسـلالتين OH40 وG54 تاثيرات مرغوبة معنوية للقدرة العامة على الاتحاد لاكبر عدد من الصفات، واظهرت الهجن تباينا في تاثيراتها للقدرة الخاصة على الاتحاد للصفات المختلفة، وتميزت الهجن (2M51 x OH40) و(9G54 x ZP-607)و(G105 x DK) بقدرة خاصة على الاتحاد مرغوبة لاكبر عدد من الصفات بضمنها حاصل الحبوب بالنبات. ظهر التباين الوراثي الاضافي اكبر في قيمته من التباين الوراثي السيادي لصفات ارتفاع النبات وارتفاع العرنوص الرئيسي وطول وقطر العرنوص وعدد الحبوب بالصف وبالعرنوص والعكس لبقية الصفات. وقل معدل درجة السيادة عن واحد لصفتي ارتفاع العرنوص الرئيسي وعدد الحبوب بالعرنوص داللة على وجود السيادة الجزئية وكان اكبر من واحد لبقية الصفات داللة على السيادة الفائقة. تراوح التوريث بالمعنى الواسع بين %57.834 لعدد الحبوب بالعرنوص و95.565% لارتفاع العرنوص الرئيسي، وبالمعنى الضيق بين 21.053% لصفة عدد العرانيص بالنبات و67.218 الرتفاع العرنوص الرئيسي.